DRAFT TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS - AUGUST 24, 2017 Page 1

1	THE COURT: This is Cause Number 12MD2391,
2	Multi-District Litigation Docket 2391, In Re: Biomet
3	M2a-Magnum Hip Implant Products Liability Litigation.
4	We are gathered, I guess, in person, and also there
5	are some people on the phone, I'm told, for a status conference
6	today.
7	If I could ask you folks to state your appearances,
8	for the record, please.
9	MS. FULMER: Brenda Fulmer
10	MR. DIAB: Amend Diab, Plaintiffs' Steering
11	Committee.
12	THE COURT: I'm sorry. Who was that?
13	MR. DIAB: Ahmed Diab, on behalf of the Plaintiffs'
14	Steering Committee.
15	THE COURT: Mr. Diab.
16	MS. FULMER: Brenda Fulmer, on behalf of the
17	Plaintiffs.
18	MR. WARD: Navan Ward, on behalf of the Plaintiffs.
19	MR. LaDUE: John LaDue, on behalf of the Defendants,
20	Your Honor.
21	MR. WINTER: Good afternoon, Your Honor.
22	John Winter, on behalf of Defendants.
23	THE COURT: Okay. And, I guess, for the record,
24	Mr. Diab is with us by phone, and everybody else who spoke up
25	is here in person.
1	

DRAFT TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS - AUGUST 24, 2017 $^{\text{Page 2}}$

1	I do have the agenda that was submitted. Point 1 is
2	the active case count.
3	MR. LaDUE: I'll take that, Your Honor.
4	We're at
5	THE COURT: I don't see Ms. Hanig here.
б	MR. LaDUE: I'll be playing the role of Ms. Hanig.
7	THE COURT: Okay.
8	MR. LaDUE: We're at 248 on the active case count.
9	And just, then, quickly, to the second agenda item on
10	the discovery update, Group 3 closes discovery tomorrow, and
11	we're on track to wrap it up. Then, we have one or two cases
12	that were we had a deposition rescheduled and agreed to take
13	it beyond the deadline, but, other than that, Group 3 will be
14	wrapped up on schedule.
15	Group 4, we're beginning with Plaintiffs' and
16	surgeons' depositions.
17	We do have a couple of cases where we've got
18	authorizations that are past the deadline for two Plaintiffs
19	those are the Bauman case and the Guynn case and we need to
20	remedy that if we're going to continue to keep them in that
21	group.
22	And then Mr. Winter will address Item Number 3.
23	THE COURT: Okay. That's the Group 5 cases?
24	MR. WINTER: Yes.
25	Again, good afternoon, Your Honor.
-	•

1	
1	THE COURT: Good afternoon.
2	MR. WINTER: Your Honor, I want to say early in July,
3	Ms. Fulmer and I had a conversation about putting Group 5
4	together, and what I said at the time was: There were not
5	sufficient numbers of new cases where a completed fact sheet
6	and authorizations had been provided to, sort of, meaningfully
7	put something together. And, I said, you know: As soon as we
8	get to that point, we'll meet and confer and figure out what
9	Group 5 looks like.
10	Ms. Fulmer reminded me yesterday, I believe, that we
11	needed to do that. And what I said to Ms. Fulmer is: We will
12	send you a proposed Group 5 list next week because we've gone
13	back and checked a number of recently-submitted fact sheets.
14	We also told Ms. Fulmer that we think there are a couple of new
15	cases or cases that, with discovery, fit the summary judgment
16	rulings you made on statute of limitations.
17	So what we would like to do is figure out a way,
18	given the road map that you have created whether it's five,
19	whether it's seven cases come up with a procedure to do
20	discovery in some of them and then tee up whatever motion
21	practice would flow from that, but we, I think, will have a
22	Group 5 together middle of September.
23	THE COURT: And that would be about fifty
24	MR. WINTER: Yeah.
25	THE COURT: but some of them, five to seven of

them, would involve the issues that you want to address because 1 2 of the prior rulings? 3 MR. WINTER: Yes, Your Honor. 4 I mean, the working hypothesis is we would come up with a group of, approximately, fifty cases. And, in July, we 5 were pretty short of that number so that's why we've delayed it 6 7 a bit. 8 THE COURT: Is that approach agreeable to the 9 Steering Committee? MS. FULMER: Yes, Your Honor. 10 11 THE COURT: Okay. All right. Then, I will assume 12 you folks will put together a Group 5 and I will wait to see 13 what it gets filed. 14 What we're going to do at our end, we're going to 15 send out a list of cases and invite your comment on them. They're cases that we don't show in any group. And, just from 16 a chronological standpoint, it felt like they should be there. 17 And I know some of them may have been settled and not dismissed 18 yet, but just so we know the status of those, if there's a 19 reason they got skipped or if they fell through the cracks or 20 21 the word on them fell through the cracks. So we'll get that out next week and give you folks a period of time to let us 22 23 know if they were in a group; if they're not in a group, why, 24 okay. 25 Deposition scheduling issues in pro se cases.

DRAFT TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS - AUGUST 24, 2017 $^{\text{Page 5}}$

	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
1	MR. LaDUE: We had noted two of those, Your Honor.
2	These are both cases where we were having trouble getting the
3	Plaintiff to agree to sit for a deposition.
4	The issues in Mr. Babcock's case have been resolved
5	because Plaintiff Babcock is now represented, so we've got that
6	under control.
7	We still haven't been able to schedule a deposition
8	in Plaintiff's deposition in the Hamm case. We've tried
9	several times. We just want to bring it to the Court's
10	attention. We're going to try one more time. And if we're
11	unable to do that, we'll move to dismiss.
12	And then last time we were together, Your Honor,
13	before the last CMC, we had identified two cases that were ripe
14	for dismissal: The Pendlebury case and the Jarquio case. I
15	believe, during the last case management conference, Your Honor
16	agreed that those were ripe for dismissal. I just wanted to
17	remind the Court.
18	THE COURT: I just dismissed it within the last two
19	hours.
20	MR. LaDUE: Thank you.
21	THE COURT: You probably don't have word yet, but
22	they are working their way toward a docket entry.
23	MR. LADUE: I have not.
24	And, Your Honor, if it's okay with you, we'll skip
25	Number 5 for a second and just move on to Number 6, with
	•

pending motions, because we can get through those pretty 1 2 quickly. 3 THE COURT: Uh-huh. 4 I will tell you, also, that yesterday I granted the extension of time in Elkins. You may have gotten that. I 5 don't know about travel. 6 7 MR. LaDUE: I saw that. I saw that one, Your Honor 8 so we don't need to talk about that. 9 I don't think we need to talk about **Eastman** either because I just saw the Court's order giving Mr. Eastman until 10 11 September 14th to respond to Biomet's motion for summary 12 judgment. 13 That leaves the Beltran issue, and Mr. Winter will 14 address that. 15 MR. WINTER: Your Honor, this is a case that was 16 actually subject to motion practice some months ago, in terms 17 of whether or not it should go into Group 3, and you said it 18 should go into Group 3. The Plaintiffs, in **Beltran**, now want to deviate from 19 20 the set procedures for any case in a group, and we don't think that that's right for multiple reasons, and we'll be filing our 21 22 opposition, I believe, next week. 23 THE COURT: Okay. Are you folks handling the Beltran 24 case or is that another originating counsel? 25 MR. WARD: That's originating counsel, Your Honor,

and so the PSC can't speak for the Plaintiffs in that. 1 2 THE COURT: Okay. That's fine. 3 You might want to just let originating counsel know 4 that a motion is anticipated next week so they're not getting swamped with motions in the other 247 cases and watch for this 5 one. 6 7 MR. LaDUE: And, then, Your Honor, you're aware; the 8 Daubert motions have been filed. Most of the oppositions have 9 been filed, as well, and then we have reply briefs due on the 28th of this month. 10 11 The briefing on the **Daubert** motion regarding Dr. Kantor has run on a bit of a different schedule. 12 The response to Biomet's motion to exclude Dr. Kantor's testimony 13 14 is expected on the 31st of this month, and then our reply will 15 follow, and those should be fully briefed mid-September. I think the summary judgment briefing is 16 THE COURT: 17 running just a little behind that. 18 MR. LaDUE: That's true; yes, Your Honor. 19 THE COURT: So everything should be ripe by 20 mid-September then --21 MR. LaDUE: Yep. 22 THE COURT: -- at least unless something arises that 23 we don't foresee? 24 MR. LaDUE: That's correct, Your Honor. 25 And then that leaves just the discussion about timing 1 on remand and so on.

20

THE COURT: Let me throw out something that might short circuit that. Although, if you want to talk about it after I'm done, I'll be happy to.

It seems to me that we can't really talk very 5 meaningfully about what we do as far as the next step toward 6 7 wrapping up the MDL, whether it involves more trials or just a 8 fond wave, until we know what's going to happen with the 9 Daubert motions and the summary judgment motions. So, I think what I would propose to do -- and I appreciate and I have read 10 11 your submissions and appreciate your giving thought to it and it's given me food for thought on those, as well -- but I think 12 probably we'd do best to save our discussion of it until after 13 the rulings are out in **Daubert** and the summary judgment 14 15 motions, just so we can talk realistically about what we're 16 going to do.

Did I read in somebody's submission that you're planning to do trial depositions -- depositions of the experts or videos of the experts intended for use at trial?

MS. FULMER: Yes, Your Honor.

The Plaintiffs' Steering Committee intends to preserve the testimony of the generic expert witnesses so that that could be utilized by Plaintiffs' counsel across the country after remand. It seems the most efficient way to deal with that because the expert availability might be a problem

1	for them later on down the road.
2	THE COURT: Do you plan to do it and "you" is
3	everybody in the room here do you plan to do it for both the
4	Defendants' experts and for Plaintiffs' experts?
5	MS. FULMER: We haven't had an opportunity to speak
6	with the Defendants about whether they would like to preserve
7	testimony, as well, but we felt that it was essential for the
8	Plaintiffs, and it's pretty much, you know pretty standard
9	procedure for MDLs that are going into a remand phase.
10	THE COURT: Okay. I was just curious as to where you
11	stood on that.
12	As far as the timing of the ruling on the Daubert
13	motions and the summary judgment, ordinarily I hold oral
14	argument on dispositive motions, including Daubert motions,
15	which are potentially dispositive. I've been trying to
16	visualize how we would do that with, what, seven Daubert
17	motions, nine, and a couple of summary judgment motions. So, I
18	guess my anticipation and, again, I'm happy to none of
19	this is written in stone, but my anticipation is we might do
20	best for us to just go ahead and rule on the paper, unless
21	somebody has a specific case where they think argument would be
22	helpful.
23	I have not looked at them to see how much overlapping
24	there is, but I would think it would probably not be so much

25 that you could actually stand up and make an argument that

applies to all the **Daubert** motions. I think you would have to 1 2 be, sort of, expert specific, at least that's how it looks to 3 me at this point. 4 Do the Plaintiffs have anything to suggest on that? MR. WARD: Well, Your Honor, we're fairly confident 5 6 in our briefs in that they speak for themselves. I think, 7 ultimately, the decision on if any clarification is needed, it 8 would be by the Court. 9 THE COURT: Okay. MR. WARD: And so I don't know if the Court would 10 11 want to, first, view them, and then if they have any issues 12 that may need clarification -- maybe not all of them but some 13 of them -- request oral arguments or allow us to request oral 14 arguments in order to clear up any of those issues. 15 THE COURT: Okay. And for the Defense? 16 MR. WINTER: Your Honor, we'll be guided by, you 17 know, your thinking. When you have reviewed all of the papers, 18 you may decide that there are issues that you want discussion 19 on. And however that gets structured, we're, you know, ready 20 willing, and able to do that. 21 **THE COURT:** I'm a fan of oral argument, but I was 22 trying to just picture exactly how we would go about doing it, 23 unless we did all of them back to back to back. MR. WINTER: Your Honor, just to go back to this 24 25 trial preservation --

I had the sense that there wasn't a full 1 THE COURT: 2 agreement on that yet. 3 MR. WINTER: You're a hundred percent correct, 4 Your Honor. And not to go into the merits of someone saying, "You 5 6 shouldn't preside over a trial," to then say, "We want to take 7 trial preservation testimony," after you've said everything is 8 case specific so there's no need for a trial -- so let's put 9 that discussion to the side for a second -- we don't believe that we should have trials by video on remand, so, it's -- I 10 11 mean, and I know they, my colleagues, say it's standard 12 practice. I mean, we've all been doing these type of 13 proceedings for many, many years, and I've never seen it as, 14 quote/unquote, standard practice when you don't have trials, but you do trial preservation depositions. 15 **THE COURT:** I think I probably zipped on down the 16 17 road with that question because, obviously, you folks are still looking forward to meeting and conferring, but I had just seen 18 19 that in the status reports or the proposals and was curious about it. 20 21 MR. LaDUE: Your Honor, if I can make a suggestion on 22 the Daubert motions? 23 Uh-huh. THE COURT: 24 MR. LADUE: It would be difficult to hold oral argument on every pending motion. I think it would probably, 25

largely, be a waste of time to try to address them all at once
because we'll probably be rehashing ground you don't need any
guidance on.

4 But if the Court had specific questions, perhaps the next time we meet for a case management conference, you could 5 just tell us, "I would like to have an argument, but I want you 6 7 to focus your argument on these questions that I have. When 8 can we set that," and then we can agree to a date and argue it 9 then. I think that might be an efficient way to handle it, if the Court has any questions. Perhaps next time we meet you'll 10 11 say you don't.

12 THE COURT: No, I think that is what I would be doing 13 because I think that -- again, not having been through them 14 because I'm waiting until they're ripe, I don't know if I will 15 have such motions, but, obviously, I won't hesitate to say, 16 "Everybody, let's get together on such and such a date, even if 17 it's only by phone, and talk about this issue," because I find 18 hearing from you to be very helpful.

MR. WARD: And, Your Honor, I know that -- right here (indicating) -- I know that, in the past, we've had biweekly or bimonthly meetings between CMCs, case management conferences, and so if the Court saw fit to utilize one of those time frames to give us any type of feedback that you may have on those, I know the Plaintiffs would be, certainly, amenable to being able to hear your thoughts on whatever needs clarification during

1	that time frame.
2	THE COURT: Appreciate it.
3	Or, I guess, the flip side of that is I might let you
4	know a couple weeks before the conference that I want to hear
5	about such and such so we can keep it moving.
6	That covers everything on your agenda. And, other
7	than issuing the list of cases that we can't find a group for,
8	that covers everything on my end, other than picking a date for
9	the next get-together.
10	Anything further for the Steering Committee?
11	MR. WARD: No, sir.
12	MS. FULMER: I don't believe so, Your Honor.
13	THE COURT: Or for the
14	MR. WINTER: Nothing from Biomet, Your Honor.
15	THE COURT: Why don't we run out about two months, at
16	this point, because obviously if something comes up that you
17	need me to rule on, that's fine. But, otherwise, I think we
18	would do best for me to focus on the Daubert matters and the
19	summary judgment motion. And, obviously, if something comes up
20	in between those times that I need input on, I'll get hold of
21	you.
22	So, I guess we'd be looking sometime around October,
23	the tail end of October. How about 1:30 on October 26th?
24	That's a Thursday. And we can do it by phone, if there's no
25	reason to get together. I have no I don't know that there's

-	
1	going to be a lot happening between now and then, other than
2	possibly ruling.
3	MS. FULMER: Does that work for you? I don't have my
4	calendar.
5	THE COURT: Does that work for Plaintiffs?
6	MR. WARD: Yes, that works for Plaintiffs.
7	MS. FULMER: Yes, Your Honor.
8	MR. WINTER: Works for the Defendants, Your Honor.
9	THE COURT: Okay. I guess, why don't we show it as
10	live, but if it turns out a week or so before that well,
11	that doesn't work because well, yeah. If it turns out a
12	week or two before that we don't have anything worth getting
13	together in person, incurring the travel expenses, we can
14	convert it to phone, okay.
15	Okay. Good seeing you all, and I will
16	MR. LaDUE: Thanks, Your Honor.
17	MR. WARD: And, Your Honor I'm sorry what time?
18	Did you give a time?
19	THE COURT: Oh. One-thirty.
20	MR. WINTER: Thank you, Your Honor.
21	THE COURT: Thank you, folks.
22	MR. WINTER: Have a good day.
23	THE COURT: Thanks. You, too.
24	MS. FULMER: Thank you, Your Honor.
25	