

120 BROADWAY • NEW YORK • NEW YORK 10271  
TELEPHONE: (212) 732-9000 • FACSIMILE: (212) 266-4141  
[SPBMC-NY@TrialLaw1.com](mailto:SPBMC-NY@TrialLaw1.com)

Robert G. Sullivan  
Nicholas Papain  
Michael N. Block  
Christopher T. McGrath  
Vito A. Cannavo  
John F. Nash  
Frank V. Floriani  
Marie Ng  
Eleni Coffinas

David J. Dean  
Hugh M. Turk  
Albert B. Aquila  
Brian J. Shoot  
Mary Anne Walling  
Eric K. Schwarz  
Elizabeth Montesano  
Deanne M. Caputo  
Liza A. Milgrim

PLEASE REPLY TO:  
New York City Office

Beth N. Jablon  
Susan M. Jaffe  
Terrence L. Tarver  
Clifford S. Argintar  
Thomas J. McManus  
Michael W. Lever  
Lauren M. Pennisi  
Sean A. McConnell  
Glenn W. Nick

Gabriel A. Arce-Yee  
Carmen T. Rodriguez  
John D. Coville  
Ina Pecani  
Mark A. Apostolos  
Michael D. Kutner  
Eric C. Goldman  
Gonzalo G. Suarez

Hon. Joseph N. Giamboi (ret.)  
Stephen C. Glasser  
Paul F. Oliveri  
*Of Counsel*

John M. Tomsky  
Wendell Y. Tong  
*Counsel to the Firm*

Author's E-Mail Address:  
[WTong@TrialLaw1.com](mailto:WTong@TrialLaw1.com)  
Direct Telephone Line:  
(212) 266-4117  
Private Fax Line:  
(212) 266-4167

May 07, 2015

**By U.S. Mail and ECF**

The Honorable Robert L. Miller, Jr.  
United States District Court  
Northern District of Indiana  
204 South Main Street  
South Bend, IN 46601

**Re: Diana Fitzpatrick v. Biomet, Inc., et al., Civil Case No. 13-cv-00283  
Biomet M2A Magnum Products Liab. Litig. 12-md-2391**

Dear Judge Miller:

We represent plaintiff Diana Fitzpatrick in the Biomet M2A Magnum MDL.

We write to amplify concerns recently raised by other attorneys regarding Biomet's treatment of plaintiffs who have sought enhancement of the base award under the Master Settlement Agreement.

Our client was treated in a similar manner. Our client had met every criterion of the MSA for a full base award as well as for enhancement. On the portal, Biomet did not contest her categorization nor her claim for enhancement. All looked well to this point.

Looking back, the first sign of trouble was the difficulty in obtaining a mediation date. As to our first request for a mediation date, we were told it was "premature"; subsequent requests did not get a response. When we finally obtained a date, it was nearing the end of the mediation period.

May 7, 2015

Page 2

Only days before the scheduled mediation, we received a shocking surprise. We learned for the first time Biomet was not only refusing enhancement, but denying the full base award. We learned that Biomet would be offering only a small fraction of the base award. The stated reason: Biomet was of the opinion that our client's injury was caused by the revising surgeon. This was total news to us, and came at a very, very late date.

We then had informal settlement discussions with Biomet's counsel. Though it was uncontroverted that our client met every criterion for the full base award, ultimately, Biomet confirmed to us that there was nothing we could do at the mediation that would increase the original offer of a small fraction of the full base award. Based on this representation from Biomet, we canceled the mediation.

Thus, we join in the request of Mr. Borri, Mr. Perlmutter, Mr. Dow, and Mr. Lowe.

We thank the Court for its consideration of these requests, and welcome any questions the Court may have.

Respectfully submitted,

SULLIVAN PAPAIN BLOCK  
McGRATH & CANNAVO P.C.

By: 

Wendell Y. Tong

WYT:al  
(083765)

cc by email:

Thomas Anapol, Plaintiffs' Steering Committee  
John Winter, Biomet Counsel  
Jeffrey Lowe  
Gregg Borri  
Scott Perlmutter  
John Ferris Dow