

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF INDIANA

IN RE MATTER OF
CERTAIN PENDING ADMINISTRATIVE
FORFEITURE PROCEEDINGS

**MOTION FOR BLANKET EXTENSION
OF DEADLINE FOR SENDING NOTICE IN CERTAIN
PENDING ADMINISTRATIVE FORFEITURE PROCEEDINGS**

The United States of America, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 983, moves this Court for an extension of time for all federal seizing agencies in this district to commence administrative forfeiture proceedings, due to the current national health emergency, as declared by the president on March 13, 2020. In support of its motion, the Government submits the following memorandum of law.

MEMORANDUM OF LAW

I. Background

The Department of Justice’s three law enforcement agencies with administrative forfeiture authority—the Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco, Firearms and Explosives, the Drug Enforcement Administration, and the Federal Bureau of Investigation (collectively, “the DOJ seizing agencies”)—are authorized to seize property subject to forfeiture under federal law, and commence administrative forfeiture proceedings, subject to certain statutory limitations. 19 U.S.C. §§ 1602-1621. The regulations governing these seizures, administrative forfeitures, and the remission or mitigation of Department of Justice forfeitures are found at 28 C.F.R.,

Parts 8 and 9.

In addition, the United States Postal Inspection Service (USPIS) is authorized by law to commence administrative forfeiture proceedings pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3061. USPIS participates in the Department of Justice asset forfeiture fund.

A number of federal agencies with administrative enforcement authority participate in the Department of Treasury asset forfeiture fund. These include the Internal Revenue Service (IRS) within the Treasury Department, and United States Secret Service (USSS) and Customs and Border Protection (CBP) within the Department of Homeland Security.¹

With limited exceptions, most aspects of federal administrative and judicial forfeiture actions are governed by the provisions of the Civil Asset Forfeiture Reform Act of 2000 (CAFRA), Public Law 106-185, 114 Stat. 202. One key aspect of CAFRA is the establishment of a statutory deadline for commencing an administrative forfeiture proceeding—60-days for federal seizures and 90-days for adopted seizures (those initially made by a state or local law enforcement agency). 18 U.S.C. § 983(a)(1)(A)(i), (iv). The procedures for extending that deadline and the deadlines for subsequent steps in the administrative and judicial forfeiture proceedings are set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 983(a)(1).

An administrative forfeiture is commenced when the seizing agency sends

¹ CBP processes administrative forfeiture proceedings for seizures made by U.S. Border Patrol as well as for seizures by Homeland Security Investigations (HSI), another DHS agency.

notice of the forfeiture proceeding to potential claimants. The standard method is to send notice by certified mail, return receipt requested, or by commercial delivery with confirmation of receipt. Some agencies also send notice by first class mail. The agencies use certified mail or commercial delivery for two reasons: (1) to increase the likelihood that the intended recipient, in fact, receives timely notice, and (2) to provide the seizing agency with confirmation of delivery. Where a claim contesting that administrative forfeiture has been filed, the seizing agency then must forward the matter within 90 days to the appropriate U.S. Attorney's Office for further action. 18 U.S.C. § 983(a)(3)(A). In addition to, or instead of, submitting an administrative claim, a party may submit a petition for remission or mitigation of the forfeiture. These petitions are described in the notice letters that commence the administrative forfeiture proceeding, and are required to be submitted to the agency in a similar manner to a claim.

Each year, the DOJ seizing agencies commence approximately 27,500 to 31,700 administrative forfeitures. The non-DOJ agencies also commence a significant number of administrative forfeitures. CBP alone commenced over 22,000 CAFRA forfeitures in FY 2019. Those forfeitures generate massive amounts of paperwork, and require the regular, close physical interaction among office personnel in each agency's headquarters office to prepare notice letters, correction letters, denial letters, the mailing envelopes for all of those letters, and the preparation of notice by publication for each forfeiture on the government's dedicated forfeiture website (www.forfeiture.gov). In addition, these employees physically handle large

volumes of mail from the public on a daily basis, including hand-written letters, claims, petitions for remission or mitigation, and requests for reconsideration. Although the seizing agencies are capable of processing claims and petitions submitted electronically, the overwhelming majority of all submissions (approximately 85%) still comes through the mail.

On March 13, 2020, President Trump declared a national emergency, effective as of March 1, 2020, due to the Novel Coronavirus Disease (COVID-19) pandemic. To allow federal employees to engage in social distancing to slow the spread of the virus, on March 15, 2020, the Attorney General implemented a “maximum telework” policy, which includes all DOJ law enforcement components. As a result, virtually all asset forfeiture personnel working in the headquarters facilities of the DOJ seizing agencies in the Washington, DC area are teleworking. As the COVID-19 disease continues to spread, it is becoming increasingly difficult, and soon may be impossible, for the seizing agencies to comply with the guidance promulgated by the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention and other public health authorities regarding reducing the possibility of exposure to the virus and slowing the spread of the disease and receive mail and process the large volume of time-sensitive documents; comply with the statutory deadline requirements for providing notice to potential claimants and petitioners; and refer any contested matters to U.S. Attorney’s Offices across the country for their consideration.

II. Legal Authority

Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 983(a)(1)(B), a “supervisory official in the headquarters office of each seizing agency may extend the period for sending notice” of an administrative forfeiture action to interested parties under certain conditions for a period not to exceed 30 days. The supervisory official may extend the 30-day period “only if there is reason to believe that sending notice may have an adverse result,” including: endangering the life or physical safety of an individual. 18 U.S.C. §§ 983(a)(1)(D). Upon motion by the Government, “a court may extend the [30-day] period for sending notice for a period not to exceed 60 days, which period may further extended by the court for 60-day periods, as necessary,” based on the presence of any of these same conditions described in 18 U.S.C. §§ 983(a)(1)(D).

Once notice of an administrative forfeiture is sent, a claim may be filed by the deadline set forth in the notice (which must be at least 35 days after the date the letter is mailed), or, if the notice is not received, not later than 30 days after the date of final publication of the notice of seizure. *See* 18 U.S.C. § 983(a)(2)(B). Then, not later than 90 days after the claim is filed, the Government must file a civil forfeiture complaint or obtain a criminal indictment containing an allegation that the seized property is subject to forfeiture. *See* 18 U.S.C. § 983(a)(3)(A)-(C). If the Government fails to take these steps, it must return the property and is barred from completing a civil forfeiture. *See* 18 U.S.C. § 983(a)(3)(B). There is, however, one exception. “[A] district court in the district in which the civil forfeiture complaint will be filed may extend the period for filing a complaint for good cause shown or upon agreement of

the parties.” 18 U.S.C. § 983(a)(3)(A).²

Section 983(a)(1)(D) extensions are entered routinely by supervisory officials or by district courts, as appropriate, on a case-by-case basis. Similarly, district courts routinely grant section 983(a)(3)(A) motions to extend the deadline to file a civil forfeiture complaint. Because of the current trajectory of the coronavirus and the likely spread of COVID-19 if greater safety measures are not taken, it is reasonable to believe that the continued regular operation of the DOJ seizing agencies’ administrative forfeiture programs may endanger the lives or physical safety of numerous individuals. At the same time, it is impossible for the Government to identify every seized asset for which notice must be sent or each claim requesting initiation of a judicial forfeiture action without requiring agency personnel to work closely together in their offices. Therefore, although it is unusual, a 60-day blanket extension of all noticing and filing deadlines for assets seized by a DOJ seizing agency is appropriate. Moreover, given the sensitivity of the issue, the Government is waiving its right to have the supervisory officials at the headquarter offices of the DOJ seizing agencies extend the filing deadline by 30 days under section 983(a)(1)(B).

Instead, and as reflected in the attached declarations of those officials, the

² This Court has jurisdiction and venue over the civil forfeiture of assets seized in the Northern District of Indiana. Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1355, a civil forfeiture action may be brought in the district in which any of the acts or omissions giving rise to the forfeiture occurred, or any district for which venue is provided in section 1395. Section 1395, in turn, provides that venue exists in any district in which the property is found or brought. 28 U.S.C. § 1395(b)-(c).

Government is requesting that the Court issue a 60-day blanket extension of all noticing deadlines for assets (1) seized by a federal seizing agency between February 3 and April 30, 2020; (2) adopted by a federal seizing agency but seized by a state or local agency between January 3 and March 30, 2020; or (3) for which, due to a prior extension by the supervisory official at the agency, a notice of administrative forfeiture had to be mailed by April 3, 2020, based upon a determination that there is reason to believe that requiring notices of administrative forfeitures may endanger the life or physical safety of an individual. Specifically, requiring the notices may endanger the life or health of the asset forfeiture staff necessary to review and prepare cases and issue the notices in light of the global coronavirus pandemic.

The Government notes that “the Judicial Conference, the administrative policy-making body for the federal courts, found on March 29, pursuant to the Coronavirus Aid, Relief, and Economic Security Act (CARES Act), that ‘emergency conditions due to the national emergency declared by the President with respect to COVID-19 will materially affect the functioning of the federal courts generally ...’”.

<https://www.uscourts.gov/news/2020/03/31/judiciary-authorizes-videoaudio-access-during-covid-19-pandemic>. In response to the epidemic, federal courts at all levels have adopted temporary procedures designed to safeguard court staff, litigants, and the public from exposure to COVID-19. These procedures recognize that the use of the mail and paper submissions is problematic within the context of the risks posed by the pandemic and the measures needed to mitigate those risks.

<https://www.uscourts.gov/about-federal-courts/court-website-links/court-orders->

[and-updates-during-covid19-pandemic](#) (linking to all temporary court policies).

In addition, on April 6, 2020, Indiana Governor Eric J. Holcomb issued Executive Order 20-18 extending an order requiring residents of the State of Indiana to remain at home with the exception of certain provisions as allowed in the Executive Order until April 20, 2020. This Court further recognized the need to limit the gatherings of people for non-essential tasks by extending General Order 2020-05, General Order 2020-06, and General Order 2020-07. General Order 2020-10 continues the closure of the divisional locations of the United States District Court for the Northern District of Indiana to the public, continues all jury trials until after June 1, 2020, and cancels all non-core public events, amongst other precautions put into effect to reduce the possibility of exposure to the virus and slow the spread of disease. The Office of the United States Attorney for the Northern District of Indiana is in full compliance with Executive Order 20-18 as well as General Order 2020-10 and has employees working from home unless circumstances require otherwise. Although every measure is taken to ensure that all matters are treated in the typical ordinary course of business, there is good cause to expect certain unavoidable delays in the receipt and processing of information.

Because the pandemic and need for social distancing constitute good cause, pursuant to section 983(a)(3)(A), the Government further requests that the Court issue a 60-day blanket extension of the deadline to file a civil forfeiture action for any claim received by a DOJ federal seizing agency between March 13 and April 30,

2020.³ See *United States v. \$55,140 in U.S. Currency*, No. 5:04cv407–SPM/AK, 2005 WL 6577605 (N.D. Fla. Jan 20, 2005) (shutting down of the U.S. Attorney’s Office for 2 weeks due to the effects of a hurricane constitutes good cause for the extension of the 90-day deadline; a motion to extend a deadline under § 983(a)(3)(A) may be filed after the deadline has expired). These extensions are necessary and appropriate to protect the health and safety of all individuals responsible for the daily processing of claims, petitions, referrals, and correspondence, and serves the best interests of both the public and the United States of America. The requested extensions would allow for asset forfeiture staff at the DOJ seizing agencies to telework over the next three weeks without compromising the agencies’ obligations to the public or their responsibilities under CAFRA.

III. Conclusion

For the foregoing reasons, the Government respectfully requests this Court to find that continued operation of the administrative forfeiture programs of the federal seizing agencies may endanger the life or safety of one or more individuals in light of

³Thus, if the application is granted, (1) the deadline for commencing administrative forfeiture proceedings against a federal seizure that occurred on March 13, 2020 would be extended from May 12, 2020, to July 11, 2020; (2) the commencement deadline for an adoptive seizure that occurred on March 13, 2020, would be extended from June 11, 2020, to August 10, 2020; and (3) the 90-day deadline for the filing of a civil forfeiture complaint (or inclusion of an asset in a criminal indictment) following the agency’s receipt of an administrative claim would be extended to 150 days instead of the statutory 90-day period. The corresponding dates for seizures occurring on the last day of the period requested (April 30, 2020) would be (1) August 28, 2020 (federal seizures); and (2) September 27, 2020 (adoptive seizures).

the current national health emergency, and grant the requested 60-day extension of noticing and filing deadlines, and which period may be further extended by this Court, as necessary.

Respectfully submitted,

THOMAS L. KIRSCH II
UNITED STATES ATTORNEY

By: /s/ Kathleen Trzyna
KATHLEEN TRZYNA
Assistant United States Attorney
United States Attorney's Office
Northern District of Indiana
5400 Federal Plaza, Suite 1500
Hammond, IN 46320
Tel: (219) 937-5515
Fax: (219) 937-5550
Email: kathleen.trzyna@usdoj.gov

By: /s/ Orest Szewciw
Orest Szewciw
Assistant United States Attorney
Northern District of Indiana
5400 Federal Plaza, Suite 1500
Hammond, IN 46320
Telephone: (219) 937-5500
Fax: (219) 937-5550
Email: orest.szewciw@usdoj.gov



U.S. Department of Justice

Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco,
Firearms and Explosives

Washington, DC 20226

www.atf.gov

CERTIFICATION

1. I, James D. Ingram, hereby certify that I am Associate Chief Counsel, Asset Forfeiture Division, Office of Chief Counsel, in the headquarters office of the Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco, Firearms and Explosives (ATF), within the United States Department of Justice. I also serve as a supervisory official of ATF's Asset Forfeiture and Seized Property Division (AFSPD). In the course of my duties in this position, I provide legal advice and guidance on asset forfeiture matters to ATF executive management and AFSPD.

2. The personnel assigned to AFSPD, both at ATF Headquarters located in Washington, DC, and in ATF's twenty-five field divisions located across the United States, are responsible for the daily operation of ATF's administrative forfeiture program. This work includes the identification of specific assets and any potential interested parties; the receipt and processing of mail, including a large volume of time-sensitive documents; complying with the statutory deadline requirements for providing notice to potential claimants and petitioners; and referrals of any contested matters to U.S. Attorney's Offices across the country for their consideration. Since the beginning of FY 2019 through the date of this certification, ATF has initiated administrative forfeiture actions against more than 37,600 assets.

3. For every ATF administrative forfeiture action governed by the Civil Asset Forfeiture Reform Act of 2000 (CAFRA), AFSPD is required to send written notice to all

interested parties by the deadlines established in 18 U.S.C. § 983(a)(1)(A); to-wit: 60-days for federal seizures and 90-days for adopted seizures (those initially made by a state or local law enforcement agency). 18 U.S.C. § 983(a)(1)(A)(i), (iv); 28 CFR § 8.9(c)(1).

4. As designated by 28 C.F.R. § 8.9(c)(7), I am the appropriate official to extend the period for sending personal written notice in a particular case, if there is reason to believe that notice may have an adverse result, including, *inter alia*, endangering the life or physical safety of an individual, or seriously jeopardizing an investigation. 18 U.S.C. §§ 983(a)(1)(B) and (C).


5. On March 13, 2020, President Trump declared a national emergency, effective as of March 1, 2020, due to the Novel Coronavirus Disease (COVID-19) pandemic. To allow federal employees to engage in social distancing to slow the spread of the virus, on March 15, 2020, the Attorney General implemented a “maximum telework” policy, which includes all DOJ law enforcement components. As a result, virtually all asset forfeiture personnel working in ATF Headquarters in Washington, DC, and ATF’s twenty-five field division offices are teleworking.

6. As the COVID-19 disease continues to spread, it is becoming increasingly difficult, and soon may be impossible, for ATF to comply with the guidance promulgated by the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention and other public health authorities regarding reducing the possibility of exposure to the virus and slowing the spread of the disease.

7. Based on the foregoing, I have reason to believe that sending notice of pending administrative forfeiture actions, processing claims, and making referrals at this time may, in fact, endanger the life or physical safety of numerous ATF AFSPD personnel, or otherwise jeopardize ongoing ATF investigations. Accordingly, I believe that a sixty (60) day extension of all noticing deadlines and filing deadlines in these cases is necessary and appropriate to protect the health and safety of ATF personnel who are responsible for the daily processing of claims, petitions, referrals, and correspondence, and serves the best interests of both the public and the United States of America.

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief.

Executed this 30th day of March, 2020 at Washington, DC.



JAMES D. INGRAM
Associate Chief Counsel, Asset Forfeiture Division
Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco, Firearms and Explosives

CERTIFICATION

I, Vicki L. Rashid, am a supervisory official in the headquarters office of the Drug Enforcement Administration ("DEA"), as described in 18 U.S.C. Section 983(a)(1)(C).

The personnel assigned to the DEA's asset forfeiture program, both at DEA Headquarters located in Arlington, VA, and in the DEA's field divisions located across the United States, are responsible for the daily operation of DEA's administrative asset forfeiture program. This work includes the identification of specific assets and any potential interested parties; the receipt and processing of mail, including a large volume of time-sensitive documents; complying with the statutory deadline requirements for providing notice to potential claimants and petitioners; and referrals of any contested matters to U.S. Attorney's Offices across the country for their consideration.

For every DEA administrative forfeiture governed by the Civil Asset Forfeiture Reform Act of 2000 (CAFRA), DEA is required to send written notice to all interested parties by the deadlines established in 18 U.S.C. Section 983(a)(1)(A); to-wit: 60-days for federal seizures and 90-days for adopted seizures (those initially made by a state or local law enforcement agency). 18 U.S.C. Section 983(a)(1)(A)(i), (iv); 28 C.F.R. Section 8.9(c)(1).

As designated by 28 C.F.R. Section 8.9(c)(7), I am the appropriate official to extend the period for sending personal written notice in a particular case, if there is reason to believe that notice may have an adverse result, including *inter alia*, endangering the life or physical safety of an Individual, or seriously jeopardizing an investigation. 18 U.S.C. Sections 983 (a)(1)(B).

As designated by 28 C.F.R. Section 8.9(c)(8), I am also the appropriate official to provide the written certification for a request to extend the period for sending personal written notice in a particular case, if there is reason to believe that notice may have an adverse result, including *inter alia*, endangering the life or physical safety of an Individual, or seriously jeopardizing an investigation. 18 U.S.C. Sections 983 (a)(1)(C).

On March 13, 2020, President Trump declared a national emergency, effective as of March 1, 2020, due to the Novel Coronavirus Disease (COVID-19) pandemic. To allow federal employees to engage in social distancing to slow the spread of the virus, on March 15, 2020, the Attorney General implemented a "maximum telework" policy, which includes all DOJ law enforcement components. As a result, virtually all asset forfeiture personnel working in DEA Headquarters in Arlington, VA, and DEA's field division offices are teleworking.


As the COVID-19 disease continues to spread, it is becoming increasingly difficult, and soon may be impossible, for DEA to comply with the guidance

promulgated by the Centers of Disease Control and Prevention and other public health authorities regarding reducing the possibility of exposure to the virus and slowing the spread of the disease.

Based on the foregoing, I have reason to believe that sending notice of pending administrative forfeiture actions, processing claims, and making referrals at this time may, in fact, endanger the life or physical safety of numerous DEA forfeiture personnel, or otherwise jeopardize ongoing DEA investigations. Accordingly, I believe that a sixty (60) day extension of all noticing deadlines and filing deadlines in these cases is necessary and appropriate to protect the health and safety of DEA personnel who are responsible for the daily processing of claims, petitions, referrals, and correspondence, and serves the best interests of both the public and the United States of America.

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief.

Executed this 30th day of March, 2020 at Arlington, VA.

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Vicki L. Rashid", written over a horizontal line.

Vicki L. Rashid

Forfeiture Counsel

Associate Chief Counsel

Office of Chief Counsel

Drug Enforcement Administration

CERTIFICATION

I, Stephen J. Jobe, am a supervisory official in the headquarters office of the Federal Bureau of Investigation ("FBI"), as described in 18 U.S.C.

Section 983(a)(1)(C)

The personnel assigned to the FBI's asset forfeiture program, both at FBI Headquarters located in Washington, D.C., and in the FBI's fifty-six field divisions located across the United States, are responsible for the daily operation of FBI's administrative forfeiture program. This work includes the identification of specific assets and any potential interested parties; the receipt and processing of mail, including a large volume of time-sensitive documents; complying with the statutory deadline requirements for providing notice to potential claimants and petitioners; and referrals of any contested matters to U.S. Attorney's Offices across the country for their consideration.

For every FBI administrative forfeiture governed by the Civil Asset Forfeiture Reform Act of 2000 (CAFRA), FBI is required to send written notice to all interested parties by the deadlines established in 18 U.S.C. Section 983(a)(1)(A); to-wit: 60-days for federal seizures and 90-days for adopted seizures (those initially made by a state or local law enforcement agency). 18 U.S.C. Section 983(a)(1)(A)(i), (iv); 28 C.F.R. Section 8.9(c)(1).

As designated by 28 C.F.R. Section 8.9(c)(7), I am the appropriate official to extend the period for sending personal written notice in a particular case, if there is reason to believe that notice may have an adverse result, including *inter alia*, endangering the life or physical safety of an Individual, or seriously jeopardizing an investigation. 18 U.S.C. Sections 983 (a) (1)(B) and (C)


On March 13, 2020, President Trump declared a national emergency, effective as of March 1, 2020, due to the Novel Coronavirus Disease (COVID-19) pandemic. To allow federal employees to engage in social distancing to slow the spread of the virus, on March 15, 2020, the Attorney General implemented a “maximum telework” policy, which includes all DOJ law enforcement components. As a result, virtually all asset forfeiture personnel working in FBI Headquarters in Washington, D.C., and FBI’s fifty-six field division offices are teleworking.

As the COVID-19 disease continues to spread, it is becoming increasingly difficult, and soon may be impossible, for FBI to comply with the guidance promulgated by the Centers of Disease Control and Prevention and other public health authorities regarding reducing the possibility of exposure to the virus and slowing the spread of the disease.

Based on the foregoing, I have reason to believe that sending notice of pending administrative forfeiture actions, processing claims, and making referrals at this time may, in fact, endanger the life or physical safety of numerous FBI forfeiture personnel, or otherwise jeopardize ongoing FBI investigations. Accordingly, I believe that a sixty (60) day extension of all noticing deadlines and filing deadlines in these cases is necessary and appropriate to protect the health and safety of FBI personnel who are responsible for the daily processing of claims, petitions, referrals, and correspondence, and serves the best interests of both the public and the United States of America.

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief.

Executed this 29th day of March, 2020 at Washington, D.C.

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Stephen J. Jobe", written over a horizontal line.

Stephen J. Jobe

Chief, Legal Forfeiture Unit

Office of the General Counsel

Federal Bureau of Investigation



**DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY
INTERNAL REVENUE SERVICE
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20224**

Criminal Investigation

2 April 2020

CERTIFICATION

I, Ronald L. Whitsett, hereby certify and attest that I am the Director of the Warrants and Forfeiture (WF) Section in the headquarters office of the Internal Revenue Service, Criminal Investigation (IRS-CI), within the United States Department of the Treasury. As part of my duties, I am responsible for developing and overseeing the national IRS-CI WF program, which includes adjudication of administrative actions and proposed judicial actions related to the violations of laws that are within the jurisdiction of the IRS and referred to headquarters. I am also responsible for providing guidance to IRS-CI field offices throughout the United States. Specifically, I am responsible for implementing policies and procedures for all aspects of the seizure and forfeiture of assets, to include management, control and accountability of property. As such, I am familiar with the policies which IRS-CI applies in carrying out its forfeiture program.

The personnel assigned to WF, both at IRS-CI headquarters located in Washington, DC, and in IRS-CI's twenty-one field offices located throughout the United States, are responsible for the daily operation of IRS-CI's administrative forfeiture program. This work includes the identification of specific forfeitable assets and all interested parties; the receipt and processing of mail, including a large volume of time-sensitive documents; complying with the statutory deadline requirements for providing notice to potential claimants and petitioners; and referrals of contested matters to respective U.S. Attorney's Office for their consideration. Since the beginning of FY 2019 and through the date of this certification, IRS-CI's field offices have initiated administrative forfeiture actions in 241 cases and 156 civil judicial cases that are subject to Civil Asset Forfeiture Reform Act of 2000 (CAFRA) requirements.

For every IRS-CI administrative forfeiture action governed by CAFRA handled by WF, WF is required to send written notice to all interested parties by the deadlines established in 18 U.S.C. § 983(a)(1)(A); to-wit: 60-days for federal seizures and 90-days for adopted seizures (those initially made by a state or local law enforcement agency). 18 U.S.C. § 983(a)(1)(A)(i), (iv); 28 CFR § 8.9(c)(1).

As designated by 28 C.F.R. § 8.9(c)(7), I am the appropriate official to extend the period for sending personal written notice in a particular case, if there is reason to believe that notice may have an adverse result, including, inter alia, endangering the life or physical safety of an individual, or seriously jeopardizing an investigation. 18 U.S.C. §§ 983(a)(1)(B) and (C).

On 13 March 2020, President Trump declared a national emergency, effective as of 1 March 2020, due to the Novel Coronavirus Disease (COVID-19) pandemic. To allow federal employees to engage in social distancing to slow the spread of the virus, on 25 March 2020, IRS Commissioner Charles P. Rettig implemented a "broad-based telework strategy" policy, which includes all IRS-CI law enforcement components. As a result, all personnel working in IRS-CI headquarters in Washington, DC who process asset forfeitures, as well as IRS-CI's twenty-one field offices, are teleworking.

As the COVID-19 disease continues to spread, it is becoming increasingly difficult, and soon may be impossible, for IRS-CI WF to comply with the guidance promulgated by the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention and other public health authorities regarding reducing the possibility of exposure to the virus and slowing the spread of the disease.

Based on the foregoing, I have reason to believe that, at this time, sending notice of pending administrative forfeiture actions which are subject to CAFRA may, in fact, endanger the life or physical safety of IRS-CI personnel, or otherwise jeopardize ongoing IRS-CI investigations. Accordingly, I believe that an extension of time in all such cases is necessary and appropriate to protect the health and safety of IRS-CI personnel responsible for the daily processing of claims, petitions, referrals, and correspondence, and serves the best interests of both the public and the United States of America.

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief.

Executed this 2nd day of April 2020 at Washington, DC.

Sincerely,

Ronald L. Whitsett
Director, Warrants and Forfeiture
IRS-CI