

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF INDIANA

IN RE: ASSIGNMENT OF CIVIL CASES

General Order No. 2024-28

GENERAL ORDER

This general order is being entered pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 137 and Local Rule 40-1, providing for distribution of the court's business and caseload among the Judges in such manner as may be prescribed by order of the court.

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that all civil cases filed in the Northern District of Indiana shall be randomly assigned to a United States District Judge then resident in the division in which the case is filed except as hereinafter provided. As there is no resident judge currently in Lafayette, all civil cases filed in Lafayette shall be randomly assigned to a district judge located in Hammond except as hereinafter provided.

This Order supersedes General Order 2024-19 entered July 31, 2024, and shall not affect the assignment of criminal cases. The separate assignment wheel for Social Security Appeals created by General Order 2018-14 entered on September 4, 2018, and since supplemented by General Order 2024-27 entered on August 30, 2024, is not affected by this General Order.

*1. Assignment of a Presiding Judge*

Except as hereinafter provided, civil cases filed in Fort Wayne will be assigned to Chief Judge Holly A. Brady or Judge Cristal C. Brisco, with Chief Judge Brady taking a full share and Judge Brisco taking 10% of a full share. Civil cases filed in Hammond and Lafayette will be assigned to Judge Philip P. Simon, Judge Gretchen S. Lund, Judge James T. Moody, Judge Theresa

L. Springmann, Judge Joseph S. Van Bokkelen, or Judge Jon E. DeGuilio, with Judge Simon and Judge Lund each taking a full share. Civil cases filed in South Bend will be assigned to Judge Leichty or Judge Brisco, who are each taking a full share.

Each senior judge shall receive a percentage of the total number of cases assigned to an active judge. The percentage of any senior judge's caseload is subject to change and shall be based upon the judge's annual request for certification under the Ethics Reform Act of 1989, 28 U.S.C. § 371, the Judicial Conference rules implementing § 371, *see* Guide to Judiciary Policies and Procedures, Vol. 12, § 620.45, and the Seventh Circuit Guidelines to Determine the Staffing Requirements of Senior and Recalled Judges (Rev. December 15, 2014).

## *2. Assignment of a Magistrate Judge*

Except as hereinafter provided or unless otherwise directed by the presiding judge, every civil case filed in the Northern District of Indiana shall be assigned to a magistrate judge located in the division of the court in which the case is filed for the purpose of conducting all non-dispositive pretrial proceedings, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(A); FED. R. CIV. P. 72(a) and General Orders 2003-21, 2004-6, and 2022-31. Cases filed in Lafayette shall be assigned to a magistrate judge located in Hammond.

For every civil case assigned to a magistrate judge, the Clerk shall notify the parties of the option to consent to jurisdiction by a United States Magistrate Judge pursuant to Local Rule 72-1, and, with that notification, provide both a Notice of Availability of a United States Magistrate Judge to Exercise Jurisdiction and a Consent to or Declination of the Exercise of Jurisdiction by a United States Magistrate Judge to each party. Each party shall have twenty-one (21) days following the date the Preliminary Pretrial Conference is held or within twenty-one (21) days of receipt of

the form in cases where no Preliminary Pretrial Conference is held to complete and return the Consent form to the Clerk of the Court in the manner outlined in the Consent form.

While consent to the exercise of jurisdiction by the assigned magistrate judge is entirely voluntary, submission of the Consent form, indicating whether the party consents or declines to consent, is mandatory. If each party consents, the Clerk shall automatically reassign the presiding judge in the case to the assigned magistrate judge and that magistrate judge shall then conduct all proceedings, including the conduct of a jury or non-jury trial, and may order the entry of a final judgment (See 28 U.S.C. § 636(c); Fed. R. Civ. P. 73; N.D. Ind. L.R. 40-1; N.D. Ind. L.R. 72-1). Once all parties to a case consent to the jurisdiction of a United States Magistrate Judge, the United States District Judge previously assigned to the action shall exercise no further jurisdiction over the case unless it becomes no longer fully consented because of the addition of a party who fails to consent. The addition of parties necessarily requires the Clerk to notify them of the option to consent. If any party declines to consent, the case will remain with the assigned district judge, and the magistrate judge will remain assigned for the purpose of conducting all non-dispositive pretrial proceedings.

To protect the voluntariness of the parties' decision to consent or decline to consent, parties are advised that they are free to withhold consent without adverse substantive consequences, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(c)(2).

Nothing in this order shall be construed as a limitation of the assigned district judge to refer, in his or her discretion, the case to a magistrate judge upon consent of all parties even though untimely filed.

### *3. Exceptions*

The following exceptions shall be made in implementing this order:

(a) All petitions under 28 U.S.C. § 2254 filed by persons under sentence of death shall be assigned on a rotating basis among the district judges except as noted in 3(e), (f), (g), and (h) below.

(b) All petitions under 28 U.S.C. § 2255 shall be assigned to the presiding judge in the related criminal case. If the presiding judge is unavailable or no longer assigned criminal cases, then the § 2255 petition shall be randomly assigned to another judge in the same division as the related criminal case, except that: (1) in Hammond, Judge DeGuilio will be assigned § 2255 petitions arising from his Hammond criminal cases; and (2) in South Bend, Judge Brisco will be assigned § 2255 petitions arising from cases formerly assigned to Judge Allen Sharp, Judge Robert L. Miller, Jr., or Judge DeGuilio.

(c) All cases filed by incarcerated litigants who are proceeding pro se will be assigned on a district-wide basis to a United States District Court Judge without regard to the courthouse or division in which the case is filed. Assignments shall be weighted to offset heavy criminal caseloads when necessary. A magistrate judge located in the division of the assigned presiding judge shall be assigned for the purpose of conducting all non-dispositive pretrial proceedings, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(A); FED. R. CIV. P. 72(a) and General Orders 2003-19, 2004-6, and 2022-31.

(d) All cases filed under Nature of Suit 190 – Other Contract, will be assigned on a district-wide basis to a United States District Court Judge without regard to the courthouse or division in which the case is filed and shall be assigned to a magistrate judge located in the division of the assigned presiding judge for the purpose of conducting all non-dispositive pretrial proceedings, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(A); FED. R. CIV. P. 72(a) and General Orders 2003-19, 2004-6, and 2022-31.

(e) Judge Moody will share in a combined civil and criminal caseload equal to 40% of the total number of cases assigned to an active judge, but will not be assigned actions of the following types: Contract: Recovery Medicare (nature of suit code 151); truth-in-lending (nature of suit code 371); bankruptcy (nature of suit codes 422-423); Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (whether coded as nature of suit 440 or 448); labor (nature of suit codes 710-791); copyrights, patent and trademark (nature of suit codes 820, 830 and 840); Social Security (nature of suit codes 861-865); environmental (nature of suit code 893); §2254 petitions filed by persons under sentence of death (nature of suit code 535); class actions; complaints requesting replevin; or complaints which are titled as requesting a permanent injunction or are filed with an initial request for a temporary restraining order or preliminary injunction.

(f) Judge Van Bokkelen will share in a civil caseload equal to 25% of the total number of cases assigned to an active judge, but will only be assigned social security appeals and cases filed by incarcerated litigants who are proceeding pro se (nature of suit code 550) excepting actions involving class actions; complaints requesting replevin; or complaints which are titled as requesting a permanent injunction or are filed with an initial request for a temporary restraining order or preliminary injunction.

(g) Judge Springmann will share in a civil caseload equal to 40% of the total number of cases assigned to an active judge, but will not be assigned actions of the following types: Contract: Recovery Medicare (nature of suit code 151); truth-in-lending (nature of suit code 371); bankruptcy (nature of suit codes 422-423); Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (whether coded as nature of suit 440 or 448); labor (nature of suit codes 710-791); copyrights, patent and trademark (nature of suit codes 820, 830 and 840); environmental (nature of suit code 893); §2254 petitions filed by persons under sentence of death (nature of suit code 535); Racketeer Influenced

and Corrupt Organizations (nature of suit code 470); class actions; complaints requesting replevin; or complaints which are titled as requesting a permanent injunction or are filed with an initial request for a temporary restraining order or preliminary injunction.

(h) Judge DeGuilio will share in a civil caseload equal to 50% of the total number of cases assigned to an active judge, but will only be assigned §2254 petitions (nature of suit codes 535 and 530); social security appeals; and cases filed by incarcerated litigants who are proceeding pro se (nature of suit codes 530 and 550) excepting actions involving class actions; complaints requesting replevin; or complaints which are titled as requesting a permanent injunction or are filed with an initial request for a temporary restraining order or preliminary injunction.

(i) No magistrate judge shall be assigned in cases in which the nature of suit involves a bankruptcy appeal or petition under 28 U.S.C. §§ 2241, 2254 filed by persons under sentence of death, or 2255.

(j) With respect to cases seeking to bar or mandate state/nationwide enforcement of a state or federal law, including a rule, regulation, policy, or order of the executive branch or a state or federal agency, whether by declaratory judgment and/or any form of injunctive relief, the presider initially assigned shall direct the Clerk to randomly assign the case on a district-wide basis to a United States District Court Judge without regard to the courthouse or division in which the case is filed (with assignment to a magistrate judge located in the division of the assigned presiding judge), if necessary to comply with the policy adopted by the Committee on Court Administration & Case Management of the Judicial Conference of the United States, as denoted in its memorandum dated March 15, 2024.

SO ORDERED.

Dated: August 30, 2024

/s/ Holly A. Brady  
Holly A. Brady, Chief Judge

/s/ James T. Moody  
James T. Moody, Judge

/s/ Philip P. Simon  
Philip P. Simon, Judge

/s/ Theresa L. Springmann  
Theresa L. Springmann, Judge

/s/ Joseph S. Van Bokkelen  
Joseph S. Van Bokkelen, Judge

/s/ Jon E. DeGuilio  
Jon E. DeGuilio, Judge

/s/ Damon R. Leichty  
Damon R. Leichty, Judge

/s/ Cristal C. Brisco  
Cristal C. Brisco, Judge

/s/ Gretchen S. Lund  
Gretchen S. Lund, Judge