-	
1	INDEX
2	TELEPHONIC APPEARANCES: FOR PLAINTIFFS:
3	MR. NAVAN WARD MR. JUSTIN PRESNAL
4	MR. ALEX DAVIS MS. BRENDA FULMER
5	MS. KRISTEN BARTON
6	
7	IN-PERSON APPEARANCES FOR BIOMET:
8	MR. JOHN WINTER MR. JOHN LADUE
9	MS. ERIN HANIG
10	
11	(see docket for addresses.)
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	THE COURT: It's 1:11. We'll go ahead and get
2	started.
3	This is Judge Miller.
4	This is our 12MD2391
5	(Telephone interruption.)
6	THE COURT: I don't know what that meant
7	Biomet M2a-Magnum Hip Implant Products Liability.
8	We are gathered telephonically and with some in
9	person for our regularly-scheduled status conference.
10	We have in the courtroom, for Biomet, Mr. Winter,
11	Mr. LaDue, and Ms. Hanig.
12	A lot of people have joined the call, but the
13	operator has been telling us each of you are anonymous, which
14	is a challenging way to make a record. So if I could ask those
15	who are here from the Plaintiffs' Steering Committee, those who
16	are on the phone, if you could, please, state your appearances
17	for the record.
18	MS. FULMER: Brenda Fulmer on behalf of the
19	Plaintiff.
20	THE COURT: Who was that? I'm sorry.
21	MR. WARD: Navan Ward.
22	THE COURT: Mr. Ward.
23	Who was that before?
24	MS. FULMER: I'm sorry, Your Honor. It's Brenda
25	Fulmer on behalf of the Plaintiffs.

1	THE COURT: Ms. Fulmer, okay.
2	MS. BARTON: And, Your Honor, this is
3	MR. PRESNAL: Justin Presnal on behalf of the
4	Plaintiffs, Your Honor.
5	THE COURT: I'm sorry. I wasn't able to hear.
6	MR. PRESNAL: Justin Presnal on behalf of Plaintiffs.
7	THE COURT: Mr. Presnal.
8	MS. BARTON: And Kristen Barton, appearing on behalf
9	of Ahmed Diab, for the Plaintiffs.
10	THE COURT: Ms. Barton.
11	Anybody else?
12	(No response.)
13	THE COURT: Okay. Well, welcome to all, either
14	physically or telephonically.
15	I do have my agenda here in front of me, and I guess
16	we can work our way down through it.
17	The first agenda item is active case count. I don't
18	know who was going to speak to that.
19	Ms. Hanig.
20	MS. HANIG: Your Honor, if you don't mind, I'd like
21	to start with the discovery status first and work our way
22	through.
23	THE COURT: Okay. Let me ask everybody if you folks
24	would remain seated. I think you'll be picked up by the
25	microphone better. No, normally, I would want you to stand,

but for the sake of people who aren't here. 1 So you want to start with Item 2, discovery status? 2 3 MS. HANIG: Right. 4 So, discovery status, we're working through Groups 1 and 2. 5 In terms of Group 1, I think all the Plaintiff 6 7 depositions have been conducted. Group 2, Plaintiff depositions have all been scheduled. We're working through 8 9 those. We're also working through surgeon depositions for those cases, as well as some sales rep depositions. 10 11 In terms of the employee custodians who've been 12 requested, approximately three or four of those depositions 13 have already been taken, and there are five depositions that we are working on scheduling with the PSC right now. We're just 14 working on getting those dates scheduled before September 26. 15 There is one case that I wanted to bring to your 16 attention, which is Virginia Baker, and she is one of the 17 spoliation bellwether cases. 18 19 THE COURT: Uh-huh. MS. HANIG: And there is a deposition request out for 20 a sales representative in that case that is not going to be 21 able to be completed before September 12th due to scheduling 22 23 issues on the Plaintiff's end. We would like to extend that, 24 if possible, just for that one particular case. If we do that, 25 though, it will also bump out the briefing on that particular

case, so I don't know if you would like us to do a written 1 2 motion for that case only. 3 THE COURT: Well, how much time do you need then? 4 MS. HANIG: To be safe, I would say, if we could bump the deposition deadline out until the beginning of October, and 5 then do a reciprocal --6 7 **THE COURT:** Move everything? 8 MS. HANIG: -- two weeks for the summary judgment 9 motion. THE COURT: I'll tell you; it may be we would do well 10 11 for you to file a written motion so that the originating 12 attorney can have a chance to respond because he or she -- is 13 the originating attorney somebody who's on the line now? 14 MS. HANIG: Probably not on the line, no. It's Jeff 15 Lowe and Andy Cross. They've requested the extension so 16 they're obviously in agreement. But if you want a formal 17 motion, just so we're on the same path, we can do that. 18 THE COURT: Probably best. 19 MS. HANIG: Okay. 20 **THE COURT:** Yeah, probably best, but I will be happy to grant it when it comes through. 21 So, other than those updates, unless you 22 MS. HANIG: 23 have any specific questions about discovery, that's the general 24 status. 25 THE COURT: No. It sounds like we're moving along

pretty well. 1 Anything from the Plaintiffs to add to that? 2 3 MR. WARD: No, Your Honor. 4 I think Defense counsel has adequately relayed the state of where we are with regards to the different tracks for 5 the discovery with the missing device cases, the general 6 7 discovery with the corporate representatives, as well as the 8 Group 1 and Group 2 tracks of cases. 9 There are a few witnesses in the general discovery that the parties need to discuss with regards to their ability 10 11 with regards to them being former employees or not employees of 12 the Defendants. The parties certainly will meet and confer 13 over those few witnesses remaining and the initial group of 14 corporate rep depositions, as well as the supplemental group of 15 depositions that will be going forward after the initial group is over. 16 17 THE COURT: Okay. Thank you, sir. 18 Do you want to move on to Item 3 or Item 1 next? Ι 19 know we skipped one. MR. WINTER: Your Honor, discussing Item 3 in 20 21 conjunction with Item 1 will make a little bit of sense, we 22 hope. 23 THE COURT: Okay. 24 MR. WINTER: Your Honor will recall that your 25 December 21, 2015, order required the parties to meet and

Page 7

confer at a certain point in time, which is at or about now --1 2 THE COURT: Right. 3 MR. WINTER: -- regarding what to do with cases after Groups 1 and 2. 4 We have had a dialogue back and forth with the PSC 5 regarding the number of cases that would be in the queue, and 6 7 what has evolved over the past sixty to ninety days is there's 8 been substantial discussion between Biomet and several firms 9 with reasonably-sized inventories such that there's a big group of cases which we are cautiously optimistic, in the next thirty 10 11 to sixty days, will be resolved. So what we did is, in conjunction with that, we said 12 to the PSC, "We want to back out all of those cases for now 13 14 with the caveat that, if they don't resolve, they would actually go into Group 3," and then we said, "as long as you 15 had a completed Plaintiff Fact Sheet by June 30th of this 16 17 year," backed out pro se litigants and a small group of cases 18 which we had previously said we didn't think had much value, 19 like a metal-on-poly case. There's a group of sixty-four 20 cases. 21 Now, we have to give the PSC that list, which we worked on last week. Presumably we true up on that list, and 22 23 we should be able to do that in the next two weeks. What we 24 have proposed to the PSC is that we jointly submit that list to 25 you so that, as of the end of September, we activate Group 3,

1	and we would just track, you know I mean, you gave about six
2	months to do the discovery in Groups 1 and 2. We'd just apply
3	those same dates in a proposed order to you.
4	Once that happens, and assuming we are successful
5	with the ongoing resolution efforts, that will leave, when you
6	take into account pro se litigants, the statute of limitations,
7	the spoliation motions, and a few other odds and ends,
8	approximately 160 or so cases.
9	Currently, we're at about 325
10	THE COURT: Uh-huh.
11	MR. WINTER: plus or minus, so we should, if we do
12	our jobs right, collectively, cut this in half in relatively
13	short order.
14	THE COURT: Okay. Now, you mentioned sixty-four
15	cases. Those are ones that are now in Groups 1 or 2 and would
16	move to Group 3? I may have misunderstood.
17	MR. WINTER: No. No. My apologies, Your Honor.
18	Group 3 would be the sixty-four cases that followed
19	Group 2.
20	THE COURT: Okay.
21	MR. WINTER: So, you know, you just go in index
22	number.
23	THE COURT: Sure.
24	MR. WINTER: So that's what would be left.
25	Groups 1 and 2, it just so happens, will have

1	approximately sixty cases left, in Groups 1 and 2, so it sort
2	of breaks out a little symmetrically but more by chance.
3	THE COURT: You had talked about, though, a group of
4	cases that might resolve themselves, and I've lost track then
5	of what you proposed to do with those as far as the scheduling.
6	MR. WINTER: Right.
7	Well, some of those cases are in Groups 1 and 2
8	already
9	THE COURT: Right.
10	MR. WINTER: so that's just closing out cases.
11	With the cases that otherwise could have been in
12	Group 3
13	THE COURT: Uh-huh.
14	MR. WINTER: what we've said is, if we can't
15	resolve those cases and I can't tell you off the top of my
16	head what the number is, Your Honor, but whatever that group is
17	of cases that don't resolve, we would say, put them in Group 3,
18	so Group 3 could get expanded
19	THE COURT: Right. Okay. Now I get it.
20	MR. WINTER: so we don't have cases that have PFSs
21	submitted as of a reasonable point in time that are sitting.
22	THE COURT: So there are cases in Groups 1 and 2 that
23	might resolve themselves, and others that, if they don't, they
24	would be moved to Group 3?
25	MR. WINTER: Correct, Your Honor.

1 THE COURT: Okay. All right. So what would you need 2 from me today, just an extension of the deadline for the 3 meet-and-confer on Group 3? 4 MR. WINTER: That would be preferable, Your Honor. We should be able to have Group 3 on an agreed-upon, you know, 5 6 exhibit to a proposed order to you, you know, within two or 7 three weeks. 8 **THE COURT:** Okay. But I gather it would be helpful 9 for me to extend that to the end of September; is that what you said? 10 11 MR. WINTER: That would be preferable, Your Honor, so that we could submit an order that would activate Group 3 at 12 13 the end of September or the first week in October. 14 THE COURT: Okay. All right. Anything from the 15 Plaintiffs to add, agree, disagree? 16 MS. FULMER: No, Your Honor. 17 I believe that Mr. Winter has adequately explained, you know, the content of our discussions so far on this issue, 18 and the Plaintiffs are in agreement with the plan. 19 20 THE COURT: Okay. Thank you, Ms. Fulmer. 21 So we're 325 now, may drop to 160 within the next 22 couple of months? 23 MR. WINTER: Yes, Your Honor, hopefully. 24 THE COURT: Okay. Yeah. Okay. 25 I assume the Lone Pine Order contribution to the

1	agenda was made by the Defense?
2	MR. WINTER: Yes, Your Honor.
3	THE COURT: Okay. You may proceed.
4	MR. WARD: Your Honor.
5	THE COURT: Yes.
6	MR. WARD: Your Honor, if I may, I want to make sure
7	that Alex Davis, for the Plaintiff, is on the phone.
8	THE COURT: Could you speak up a little, Mr. Ward?
9	I'm having a hard time with the
10	MR. WARD: I apologize.
11	THE COURT: Okay.
12	MR. WARD: I apologize, Your Honor.
13	When you did the roll call earlier, Alex Davis should
14	be on the phone, was having technical difficulties, so I just
15	wanted to make sure he is on the right line, the line that is
16	able to speak, so I just wanted to see if he was on the line.
17	Alex, are you on this particular line?
18	MR. DAVIS: Yes, I'm on.
19	THE COURT: Okay. And who is this?
20	MR. WARD: Okay.
21	MR. DAVIS: Alex Davis of Jones Ward for the
22	Plaintiffs' Steering Committee.
23	I apologize for the technical difficulties.
24	THE COURT: Oh, that's right. We have two lines to
25	choose from, and it doesn't always work out exactly right.
L	

1	Okay. Thank you.
2	So does the Defense want to address the Lone Pine
3	Order issue?
4	MR. WINTER: Yes, Your Honor.
5	Right now, there are, I think, fourteen or fifteen
6	pro se litigants, and these group of pro se litigants all were
7	previously represented by counsel and had a parting of ways
, 8	with their counsel, all because of the MSA process and, you
9	know, an inability to agree. I mean, I won't go into the
10	particulars of what some of these pro se litigants did, but we
11	think we're at a point, Your Honor, where, with this group of
12	litigants who had counsel, made choices, which they're entitled
13	to make, as to whether or not they follow the advice of their
14	counsel, if we're going to move we want to move this whole
15	process along. And to the extent every time you go through
16	a group of cases, you get more pro se litigants.
17	THE COURT: Right.
18	MR. WINTER: And we would like to have an order from
19	you sometime in the next sixty days or so, subject to a
20	meet-and-confer with the PSC as to the form of the order, and,
21	in essence, we would like an order to show cause that says:
22	Please provide a letter, medical report. I mean, we're not
23	talking about a Rule 26 document, but something that says
24	you've spoken to a physician or some expert who says you have a
25	legitimate case.

1	Now, that's obviously an amorphous concept, but we
2	would be very much in favor of that because I think we need to
3	clean up this docket, and, you know, it's a reasonable thing to
4	do at this point in time, having had people be pro se litigants
5	now, you know, eighteen months. Some of them, over time, have
6	been adopted by someone on the PSC, and those cases are fine to
7	deal with that way. But for the ones that have resisted that,
8	I think, you know, it's come time to do that.
9	THE COURT: Who wishes to address that on the
10	Plaintiffs' side?
11	Thank you, Mr. Winter.
12	MS. FULMER: Your Honor, I'll address it, Brenda
13	Fulmer on behalf of the Plaintiffs.
14	It would be the Plaintiffs' position we understand
15	the need for the Court and the parties to address the pro se
16	litigants, but we would like to ask the Court to address it in
17	a way that falls something short of the Plaintiffs having to
18	come forward with a causation report. In other MDLs, the judge
19	even issuing a show cause order asking the Plaintiffs to come
20	forward and, you know, basically state their desire to go ahead
21	and proceed on a pro se basis with an understanding of what
22	that means has been sufficient to kind of clear the docket.
23	The Plaintiffs' Steering Committee has already
24	communicated twice in writing with those pro se litigants.
25	We've provided them with a list of all the attorneys who are

1	active in the litigation, so we feel like, you know, the timing
2	is right to deal with it. Our only concern is that the Court
3	find the best, you know, avenue possible for dealing with that,
4	and I believe that it could be something, you know, short of
5	requiring any type of an expert report from these Plaintiffs.
б	THE COURT: Okay. Let me propose this. Let's see.
7	When will this be? Okay. The timing is going to be
8	challenging. What I was just going to propose is that, say,
9	within thirty days, the Plaintiff submit they just have to
10	be one of these orders that you're talking about that another
11	court did, to have them come forward so we have something on
12	the table, and then thirty days after that have the Defendants
13	file their responses as to, if they believe that's inadequate,
14	why they think that, and also to give you folks time to talk
15	about the language of a Lone Pine Order, if that's the way I
16	decide to go.
17	Let me drop down to what I was adding as an agenda
18	item so we can talk about the timing on this because my other
19	agenda item is going to foul up the timing here.
20	I can't tell you how excited I am to report that, on
21	September 14th, I'm having surgery on my other rotator cuff
22	this is now a two rotator-cuff MDL for me and I will be out
23	of action for a time. I don't know how long that will be, but
24	I'm sure it would include about sixty days from now or
25	thirty days from now. Well, I guess, if that's just to give

1	the Defendants a chance to comment, that's probably workable,
2	and work on the hope that, within sixty days or shortly after
3	that, I'll be ready to dive back in this.
4	So let me go back to my original proposal, then, to
5	give the Plaintiffs thirty days to submit either, if you want
6	to draft it yourself or just submit an order that's been
7	entered in another case, something short of a Lone Pine, and
8	then give Biomet thirty days after that to explain its position
9	as to why, if Biomet believes that's insufficient, why, and
10	also to give both sides, then, time to talk about the language,
11	if a Lone Pine Order is to issue, see if the language can be
12	agreed on.
13	I will tell you that I still have some hesitance
14	about a pro se Lone Pine Order simply because a lot of these
15	folks, from what I can tell and I don't mean to put this as
16	a value judgment seem to have been abandoned by their
17	attorneys because they declined a settlement offer. In that
18	situation, there's no reason to believe their cases are any
19	less meritorious and, I guess, if we look through the
20	Plaintiffs' eyes, probably reason to think they are more
21	meritorious than the cases that remain.
22	On the other hand, we're moving along to what appears
23	to be a potential conclusion of this case within the next

25 four or five months, but months after that, and we have to do

24

several months, this docket, within the next -- I don't mean

1	
1	something, so I would look forward, very much, to both
2	possibilities.
3	Would that be agreeable, Ms. Fulmer, from the
4	Plaintiffs' standpoint?
5	MS. FULMER: Yes, Your Honor.
б	THE COURT: Okay. And would that work for Biomet?
7	MR. LaDUE: Yes, Your Honor.
8	THE COURT: Okay. So we'll show that the Plaintiffs
9	have requested something short of a Lone Pine Order and are
10	afforded thirty days within which to submit such a proposed
11	order, either one that has been used in a case already or one
12	of their own drafting; and then thirty days after that, A,
13	Biomet can respond to any inadequacy it sees in the Plaintiffs'
14	proposed order; and the parties would be to submit a proposed
15	Lone Pine Order, if that's the way the Court goes, and,
16	hopefully, we can find some appropriate way of handling the
17	situation that we're in.
18	Proposed second order to show cause, that sounds like
19	a Biomet submission.
20	MS. HANIG: Yes, Your Honor.
21	So, I know we did this once. Basically, we just want
22	to do it again. So, we've already circulated to the PSC a
23	proposed form of order and a list of cases that have been
24	funded and settled and we believe are ready for dismissal. So,
25	as soon as we get signed off from the Plaintiffs' Steering

1	Committee, we would like to propose that to you and have you
2	issue it in the same method as before where we would give
3	Plaintiffs approximately thirty days to respond as to why they
4	don't think their case should be dismissed, and then we can
5	clean up another substantial group of cases from the docket.
6	THE COURT: We have many not many several that
7	don't seem to have been categorized in either still pending or
8	settled.
9	How long would it take us to get an order out just
10	showing what those cases are?
11	(Discussion held off the record.)
12	THE COURT: Okay. Why don't you give us a couple
13	days to put an order out saying what we can't fit into any
14	category, and maybe they're all on your list. But just in
15	case, you folks can doublecheck where they stand and at least
16	let us know on that.
17	MS. HANIG: Okay. And then we could do that before
18	we submit the second proposed show cause order.
19	THE COURT: Is that agreeable, both Ms. Hanig's
20	proposal and the one I just rolled out? Is that agreeable to
21	the Plaintiffs' Steering Committee?
22	MS. FULMER: Yes, Your Honor.
23	THE COURT: Okay. I think I probably I think
24	Agenda Item Number 6 is probably in my lap, unless somebody
25	wanted to add something.
-	

1I've been trying to revise what Biomet submitted, and2I understand that Biomet did not intend for it to sound like we3were making the state courts do anything. I agree with the4Plaintiff to the point that it can be read that way, sort of an5I'm from the federal government and I'm here to help you. And6I was trying to soften it. But then, after my complaints that7nobody is taking cases to trial, we had trials each of the last8two weeks, so I don't quite have it done, but I would9anticipate finishing it in the next couple of days and enter an10order proposing it, and give everybody ten days or so, or11fourteen days, I guess, to tell me why it's good or bad.12Was there something else to be addressed, other than13what am I doing?14Ms. HANIG: No, Your Honor.15THE COURT: Okay. And does that cover it from the16Plaintiffs' standpoint? Is that workable?17MR. DAVIS: Yes.18THE COURT: Okay.19COURT REPORTER: Who was that, Judge?20THE COURT: Who was that? I'm sorry.21M. DAVIS: That was Alex Davis for the Plaintiffs,22Your Honor.23THE COURT: Okay. Okay. Thank you, Mr. Davis.24That's the end of the agenda, even with my rotator25cuff added to it.	-	
 were making the state courts do anything. I agree with the Plaintiff to the point that it can be read that way, sort of an I'm from the federal government and I'm here to help you. And I was trying to soften it. But then, after my complaints that nobody is taking cases to trial, we had trials each of the last two weeks, so I don't quite have it done, but I would anticipate finishing it in the next couple of days and enter an order proposing it, and give everybody ten days or so, or fourteen days, I guess, to tell me why it's good or bad. Was there something else to be addressed, other than what am I doing? Ms. HANIG: No, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. And does that cover it from the Plaintiffs' standpoint? Is that workable? MR. DAVIS: Yes. THE COURT: Okay. COURT REPORTER: Who was that, Judge? THE COURT: Who was that? I'm sorry. MR. DAVIS: That was Alex Davis for the Plaintiffs, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. Okay. Thank you, Mr. Davis. That's the end of the agenda, even with my rotator 	1	I've been trying to revise what Biomet submitted, and
 Plaintiff to the point that it can be read that way, sort of an I'm from the federal government and I'm here to help you. And I was trying to soften it. But then, after my complaints that nobody is taking cases to trial, we had trials each of the last two weeks, so I don't quite have it done, but I would anticipate finishing it in the next couple of days and enter an order proposing it, and give everybody ten days or so, or fourteen days, I guess, to tell me why it's good or bad. Was there something else to be addressed, other than what am I doing? Ms. HANIG: No, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. And does that cover it from the Plaintiffs' standpoint? Is that workable? MR. DAVIS: Yes. THE COURT: Okay. COURT REPORTER: Who was that, Judge? THE COURT: Who was that? I'm sorry. MR. DAVIS: That was Alex Davis for the Plaintiffs, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. Okay. Thank you, Mr. Davis. That's the end of the agenda, even with my rotator 	2	I understand that Biomet did not intend for it to sound like we
5 I'm from the federal government and I'm here to help you. And 6 I was trying to soften it. But then, after my complaints that 7 nobody is taking cases to trial, we had trials each of the last 8 two weeks, so I don't quite have it done, but I would 9 anticipate finishing it in the next couple of days and enter an 10 order proposing it, and give everybody ten days or so, or 11 fourteen days, I guess, to tell me why it's good or bad. 12 Was there something else to be addressed, other than 13 what am I doing? 14 MS. HANIG: No, Your Honor. 15 THE COURT: Okay. And does that cover it from the 16 Plaintiffs' standpoint? Is that workable? 17 MR. DAVIS: Yes. 18 THE COURT: Okay. 19 COURT REPORTER: Who was that, Judge? 20 THE COURT: Who was that? I'm sorry. 21 MR. DAVIS: That was Alex Davis for the Plaintiffs, 22 Your Honor. 23 THE COURT: Okay. Okay. Thank you, Mr. Davis. 24 That's the end of the agenda, even with my rotator	3	were making the state courts do anything. I agree with the
 I was trying to soften it. But then, after my complaints that nobody is taking cases to trial, we had trials each of the last two weeks, so I don't quite have it done, but I would anticipate finishing it in the next couple of days and enter an order proposing it, and give everybody ten days or so, or fourteen days, I guess, to tell me why it's good or bad. Was there something else to be addressed, other than what am I doing? MS. HANIG: No, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. And does that cover it from the Plaintiffs' standpoint? Is that workable? MR. DAVIS: Yes. THE COURT: Okay. COURT REPORTER: Who was that, Judge? THE COURT: Who was that? I'm sorry. MR. DAVIS: That was Alex Davis for the Plaintiffs, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. Okay. Thank you, Mr. Davis. That's the end of the agenda, even with my rotator 	4	Plaintiff to the point that it can be read that way, sort of an
 nobody is taking cases to trial, we had trials each of the last two weeks, so I don't quite have it done, but I would anticipate finishing it in the next couple of days and enter an order proposing it, and give everybody ten days or so, or fourteen days, I guess, to tell me why it's good or bad. Was there something else to be addressed, other than what am I doing? MS. HANIG: No, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. And does that cover it from the Plaintiffs' standpoint? Is that workable? MR. DAVIS: Yes. THE COURT: Okay. COURT REPORTER: Who was that, Judge? THE COURT: Who was that? I'm sorry. MR. DAVIS: That was Alex Davis for the Plaintiffs, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. Chay. Thank you, Mr. Davis. That's the end of the agenda, even with my rotator 	5	I'm from the federal government and I'm here to help you. And
two weeks, so I don't quite have it done, but I would anticipate finishing it in the next couple of days and enter an order proposing it, and give everybody ten days or so, or fourteen days, I guess, to tell me why it's good or bad. Was there something else to be addressed, other than what am I doing? MS. HANIG: No, Your Honor. HE COURT: Okay. And does that cover it from the Plaintiffs' standpoint? Is that workable? MR. DAVIS: Yes. HE COURT: Okay. COURT REPORTER: Who was that, Judge? HE COURT: Who was that? I'm sorry. MR. DAVIS: That was Alex Davis for the Plaintiffs, Your Honor.	6	I was trying to soften it. But then, after my complaints that
 anticipate finishing it in the next couple of days and enter an order proposing it, and give everybody ten days or so, or fourteen days, I guess, to tell me why it's good or bad. Was there something else to be addressed, other than what am I doing? MS. HANIG: No, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. And does that cover it from the Plaintiffs' standpoint? Is that workable? MR. DAVIS: Yes. THE COURT: Okay. COURT REPORTER: Who was that, Judge? THE COURT: Who was that? I'm sorry. MR. DAVIS: That was Alex Davis for the Plaintiffs, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. Okay. Thank you, Mr. Davis. That's the end of the agenda, even with my rotator 	7	nobody is taking cases to trial, we had trials each of the last
 order proposing it, and give everybody ten days or so, or fourteen days, I guess, to tell me why it's good or bad. Was there something else to be addressed, other than what am I doing? MS. HANIG: No, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. And does that cover it from the Plaintiffs' standpoint? Is that workable? MR. DAVIS: Yes. THE COURT: Okay. COURT REPORTER: Who was that, Judge? THE COURT: Who was that? I'm sorry. MR. DAVIS: That was Alex Davis for the Plaintiffs, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. Okay. Thank you, Mr. Davis. That's the end of the agenda, even with my rotator 	8	two weeks, so I don't quite have it done, but I would
 fourteen days, I guess, to tell me why it's good or bad. Was there something else to be addressed, other than what am I doing? MS. HANIG: No, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. And does that cover it from the Plaintiffs' standpoint? Is that workable? MR. DAVIS: Yes. THE COURT: Okay. COURT REPORTER: Who was that, Judge? THE COURT: Who was that? I'm sorry. MR. DAVIS: That was Alex Davis for the Plaintiffs, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. Okay. Thank you, Mr. Davis. That's the end of the agenda, even with my rotator 	9	anticipate finishing it in the next couple of days and enter an
 Was there something else to be addressed, other than what am I doing? MS. HANIG: No, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. And does that cover it from the Plaintiffs' standpoint? Is that workable? MR. DAVIS: Yes. THE COURT: Okay. COURT REPORTER: Who was that, Judge? THE COURT: Who was that? I'm sorry. MR. DAVIS: That was Alex Davis for the Plaintiffs, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. Okay. Thank you, Mr. Davis. That's the end of the agenda, even with my rotator 	10	order proposing it, and give everybody ten days or so, or
 13 what am I doing? 14 MS. HANIG: No, Your Honor. 15 THE COURT: Okay. And does that cover it from the 16 Plaintiffs' standpoint? Is that workable? 17 MR. DAVIS: Yes. 18 THE COURT: Okay. 19 COURT REPORTER: Who was that, Judge? 20 THE COURT: Who was that? I'm sorry. 21 MR. DAVIS: That was Alex Davis for the Plaintiffs, 22 Your Honor. 23 THE COURT: Okay. Okay. Thank you, Mr. Davis. 24 That's the end of the agenda, even with my rotator 	11	fourteen days, I guess, to tell me why it's good or bad.
 MS. HANIG: No, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. And does that cover it from the Plaintiffs' standpoint? Is that workable? MR. DAVIS: Yes. THE COURT: Okay. COURT REPORTER: Who was that, Judge? THE COURT: Who was that? I'm sorry. MR. DAVIS: That was Alex Davis for the Plaintiffs, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. Thank you, Mr. Davis. That's the end of the agenda, even with my rotator 	12	Was there something else to be addressed, other than
 15 THE COURT: Okay. And does that cover it from the Plaintiffs' standpoint? Is that workable? 17 MR. DAVIS: Yes. 18 THE COURT: Okay. 19 COURT REPORTER: Who was that, Judge? 20 THE COURT: Who was that? I'm sorry. 21 MR. DAVIS: That was Alex Davis for the Plaintiffs, 22 Your Honor. 23 THE COURT: Okay. Okay. Thank you, Mr. Davis. 24 That's the end of the agenda, even with my rotator 	13	what am I doing?
 Plaintiffs' standpoint? Is that workable? MR. DAVIS: Yes. THE COURT: Okay. COURT REPORTER: Who was that, Judge? THE COURT: Who was that? I'm sorry. MR. DAVIS: That was Alex Davis for the Plaintiffs, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. Okay. Thank you, Mr. Davis. That's the end of the agenda, even with my rotator 	14	MS. HANIG: No, Your Honor.
 17 MR. DAVIS: Yes. 18 THE COURT: Okay. 19 COURT REPORTER: Who was that, Judge? 20 THE COURT: Who was that? I'm sorry. 21 MR. DAVIS: That was Alex Davis for the Plaintiffs, 22 Your Honor. 23 THE COURT: Okay. Okay. Thank you, Mr. Davis. 24 That's the end of the agenda, even with my rotator 	15	THE COURT: Okay. And does that cover it from the
 18 THE COURT: Okay. 19 COURT REPORTER: Who was that, Judge? 20 THE COURT: Who was that? I'm sorry. 21 MR. DAVIS: That was Alex Davis for the Plaintiffs, 22 Your Honor. 23 THE COURT: Okay. Okay. Thank you, Mr. Davis. 24 That's the end of the agenda, even with my rotator 	16	Plaintiffs' standpoint? Is that workable?
 19 COURT REPORTER: Who was that, Judge? 20 THE COURT: Who was that? I'm sorry. 21 MR. DAVIS: That was Alex Davis for the Plaintiffs, 22 Your Honor. 23 THE COURT: Okay. Okay. Thank you, Mr. Davis. 24 That's the end of the agenda, even with my rotator 	17	MR. DAVIS: Yes.
 20 THE COURT: Who was that? I'm sorry. 21 MR. DAVIS: That was Alex Davis for the Plaintiffs, 22 Your Honor. 23 THE COURT: Okay. Okay. Thank you, Mr. Davis. 24 That's the end of the agenda, even with my rotator 	18	THE COURT: Okay.
 21 MR. DAVIS: That was Alex Davis for the Plaintiffs, 22 Your Honor. 23 THE COURT: Okay. Okay. Thank you, Mr. Davis. 24 That's the end of the agenda, even with my rotator 	19	COURT REPORTER: Who was that, Judge?
 Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. Okay. Thank you, Mr. Davis. That's the end of the agenda, even with my rotator 	20	THE COURT: Who was that? I'm sorry.
23 THE COURT: Okay. Okay. Thank you, Mr. Davis.24That's the end of the agenda, even with my rotator	21	MR. DAVIS: That was Alex Davis for the Plaintiffs,
24 That's the end of the agenda, even with my rotator	22	Your Honor.
	23	THE COURT: Okay. Okay. Thank you, Mr. Davis.
25 cuff added to it.	24	That's the end of the agenda, even with my rotator
	25	cuff added to it.

1 Was there anything else the Plaintiffs wanted to 2 address today? 3 MS. FULMER: No, Your Honor. 4 THE COURT: Anything for the Defendant? 5 MR. WINTER: No, Your Honor. THE COURT: Do we have a date? We don't have a date 6 7 for our next conference, do we? 8 I'll tell you what. Maybe -- no. Let's pick a date. 9 And if I have to move it, I move it. How about -- I'm just trying to be sure that I will be able to operate. And, 10 11 obviously, if you need something between now and then, we've 12 got a magistrate judge, if I'm not available, who knows a lot 13 about what's going on here. 14 How about November 3rd? That's a Thursday. That might be the safest time. I think we have been doing it at 15 1:30, and we moved this because of the possible hearing in 16 17 Saint Joseph Circuit Court, Indiana. So how about 1:30 on November 3rd? 18 I see nods from people physically here. 19 MR. WARD: Your Honor, this is Navan Ward. 20 21 I'll actually be in trial at that time, but to the extent one of the other Plaintiffs' Steering Committee 22 23 members or others in the Plaintiffs' Steering Committee is 24 available, then that will certainly work for us. 25 THE COURT: Okay. Well, I can adjust it. I'm afraid

1	I'd have to move it further down the road, and maybe we'd do
2	better to keep it there, if you're comfortable with if the
3	the Steering Committee is comfortable with the others handling
4	it.
5	MS. FULMER: Your Honor, this is Brenda Fulmer on
б	behalf of Plaintiffs.
7	Unfortunately, I'm going to be in depositions all
8	that day in South Carolina, so if it's possible to move it by
9	just a few days, I would request that of the Court.
10	THE COURT: How about November 7th? That's a Monday.
11	MS. FULMER: That's fine with me.
12	THE COURT: From Biomet?
13	MR. WINTER: Works for Biomet, Your Honor.
14	THE COURT: Okay. Well, let's set it for 1:30 on
15	November 7th. If something comes up between now and then, let
16	my chambers know. I may be able to handle it or, if not, I
17	entered the reference to Judge Gotsch. In case people don't
18	know, Judge Gotsch is the now former Saint Joseph Circuit Court
19	judge here in South Bend who was handling the Indiana
20	Supreme Court had centralized several of the state cases, state
21	Biomet metal-on-metal cases, in front of him, and he began as
22	our magistrate judge about two weeks ago, so he's a little
23	further up to speed than another new magistrate judge might be.
24	But, hopefully, I'll be able to handle whatever comes
25	up, and, alternatively, nothing will come up between now and

1	1:30 on November 7th.
2	Okay. Thank you very much, folks.
3	(Proceedings concluded.)
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	