UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF INDIANA SOUTH BEND DIVISION

IN RE: BIOMET M2a MAGNUM HIP IMPLANT PRODUCTS LIABILITY LITIGATION (MDL 2391)

CAUSE NO. 3:12-MD-2391

This Document Relates to All Cases

<u>GROUP 2 TRANSFER ORDER</u> AND EXPLANATION TO RECEIVING COURTS

To eliminate delays associated with the transfer of cases from other federal district courts to this MDL court and to promote judicial efficiency, any plaintiff whose case would have been subject to transfer to MDL No. 2391 by the Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation was allowed to file his or her case directly in the Northern District of Indiana, with the understanding that "upon completion of all [applicable] pretrial proceedings...this court w[ould], pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1404(a), transfer the case to a federal district court of proper venue, as defined in 28 U.S.C. 1391[.]" [Doc. No. 242 and 3096, Sec. III, Para. G]. The cases listed in Exhibit A to this order were directly filed in this court, subject to that provision. Centralized pretrial proceedings are now complete in those cases; and while case-specific issues may remain in some cases, the issues presented are best left to decision by the courts that will try the cases.

Accordingly, the court finds that proper venue in the cases listed in Exhibit A lies in the jurisdictions identified in the Exhibit, and ORDERS the Clerk of Court to TRANSFER each of the cases listed to the venue designated, pursuant to the court's prior orders and 28 U.S.C. § 1404(a).

SO ORDERED.

ENTERED: December 12, 2018

/s/ Robert L. Miller, Jr. Judge, United States District Court Northern District of Indiana

EXPLANATION TO RECEIVING COURTS

These product-liability cases involve the alleged failure of the M2a series of metal-on-metal hip implant systems—the M2a-MagnumTM and M2a-38TM. On October 2, 2012, the Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation, or "the Panel," transferred the first actions to this Court for consolidated and coordinated pretrial proceedings.¹ In re Biomet M2a Magnum Hip Implant Prods. Liab. Litig., 896 F. Supp. 2d 1339, 1340 (J.P.M.L. 2012). The plaintiffs claimed that the design of these devices "generate[d] high levels of metal ions, cause[d] metallosis in the surrounding tissue and/or fail[ed] early." Nearly 3,000 cases have entered this docket; most have settled. As the transferee court, the Northern District of Indiana maintains on its web site a summary of actions taken in this docket. http://www.innd.uscourts.gov/mdl-2391. The Panel docket number is 3:12md2391RLM-MGG. This

¹ The JPML later transferred actions involving the M2a-Taper[™] to this Court, by 2018 one case involving this product remained in this multidistrict litigation, and I suggested remand of this case on May 30, 2018. [See Doc. No. 3595]. A small number of cases involving Biomet's ReCap Resurfacing SystemTM and its metal-on-polyethylene devices were directly filed in this district pursuant to the case management order entered on February 15, 2013. Several of these cases were transferred pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1404(a) on May 30, 2018. [See Doc. No. 3595].

order summarizes the coordinated proceedings thus far and offers guidance to receiving courts after remand.

Two things must be explained to fully understand what has been done in the MDL and what remains to be done in the receiving courts. First, a partial settlement in 2014 resolved more than 90 percent of the cases then pending, and progress came to a halt as individual plaintiffs decided whether to join in the settlement (at least 90 percent had to agree for purposes of Biomet's offer) and since most of the plaintiff's steering committee members no longer had cases in the docket after the settlement, a new plaintiffs' steering committee was put in place. The history most pertinent to transferee courts relates to what happened after the second steering committee was up and running. Second, I avoided deciding questions of substantive state law. The laws of 44 states provide the rules of decision in the case in this MDL docket. Were I to try to understand and apply each of those state-specific laws, parties would have had to wait far longer for resolution, and I would be less likely to get the case right than a judge more familiar with that state-based rule of decision.

The defendants in these cases can be collectively thought of as "Biomet." The defendants named in the lawsuits filed in the Northern District of Indiana or transferred to the MDL include: Biomet, Inc.; Biomet Orthopedics, LLC; Biomet Manufacturing, LLC; and Biomet U.S. Reconstruction, LLC (collectively, "Biomet"). To the extent the plaintiffs named other Biomet corporate entities in their complaints, the parties stipulated to their dismissal in 2013, [Doc. No.

<u>444</u>],² and I issued an order in 2015 dismissing such defendants in cases filed after the entry of the stipulation. [Doc. No. 2972].

John D. Winter (Patterson Belknap Webb & Tyler LLP) serves as Biomet's lead counsel, and John D. LaDue and Erin Linder Hanig (LaDue Curran Kuehn LLC) serve as liaison counsel. They have served in those capacities since the outset of the MDL docket. J. Joseph Tanner, Andrew Campbell, Adrienne Busby and Stephanie Russo, from Faegre Baker Daniels, LLP, were added as defendants' co-liaison counsel in September 2018. I appointed the first plaintiffs' steering committee ("PSC I") in December 2012, as well as the plaintiffs' executive committee and co-lead counsel and outlined their duties.³ [Doc. No. 127].

Before the Panel created MDL-2391 and transferred cases to this court, Biomet had collected 19.5 million documents, applied search terms to those documents, and used predictive coding to begin producing documents, all in

² Biomet Manufacturing, LLC was known as "Biomet Manufacturing Corp." at the time of the stipulation.

³ At the time of its termination, PSC I consisted of (executive committee members are in boldface): **Thomas R. Anapol** (Anapol Schwartz); **Anne Andrews** (Andrews & Thorton); **Richard J. Arsenault** (Neblett, Beard & Arsenault); Daniel C. Burke (Parker & Waichman); Wayne Fisher (Fisher, Boyd, Brown & Huguenard); **Peter Flowers** (Foote, Meyers, Mielke & Flowers); Brenda S. Fulmer (Searcy, Denney, Scarola, Barnhart & Shipley); **Shelly Hutson** (Clark Love & Hutson); Lawrence L. Jones (Jones Ward); Michelle Kranz (Zoll, Kranz & Borgess); **Douglass A. Kreis** (Aylstock, Witkin, Kreis & Overholtz); W. Mark Lanier (The Lanier Law Firm); Hadley L. Matarazzo (Faraci Lange, LLP); Michael L.McGlamry (Pope, McGlamry, Kilpatrick, Morrison & Norwood); Joseph A. Osborne (Babbitt, Johnson, Osborne & LeClainche); Scott A. Powell (Hare, Wynn, Newell & Newton); Ellen Relkin (Weitz & Luxenberg); **Daniel S. Robinson** (Robinson, Calcagnie, Robinson, Shapiro, Davis, Inc.); Joseph H. Saunders (Saunders & Walker); Tayjes Shah (The Miller Law Firm); Navan Ward, Jr. (Beasley, Allen, Crow, Methvin, Portis & Miles, P.C.); and Genevieve M. Zimmerman (Meshbesher & Spence).

Robert T. Dassow and Frederick R. Hovde (both of Hovde Dassow + Deets) served as liaison counsel, and Mr. Anapol and Mr. Lanier served as co-lead counsel.

2012. In April 2013, PSC I asked me to order Biomet to engage in a collaborative application of predictive coding to the total universe of documents Biomet collected, and Biomet opposed this request. I denied PSC I's request after concluding that Biomet's production was sufficient under the Rules of Civil Procedure and balancing the cost to Biomet and the potential benefit to the plaintiffs of starting over. [Doc. No. 763].

I entered a case management order on February 15, 2013 ("CMO I"). [Doc. No. 242]. That order required the plaintiffs to serve on Biomet a completed plaintiff fact sheet and a medical record release authorization for health care providers for each plaintiff. Those fact sheets served as limited case-specific interrogatories. After receiving a plaintiff's fact sheet, Biomet served a defendant's fact sheet. PSC I and Biomet could also serve (1) master sets of requests for production; (2) master sets of interrogatories; and (3) master sets of requests for admission, but the parties couldn't serve any other discovery requests without a court order, and discovery was otherwise stayed. CMO I also included a privilege log protocol that specifically identified the required information for any privilege log and the documents the parties need not log, as well as a specific procedure for me to decide any disputes about the privilege description or the substantive claim of privilege in the event the parties couldn't resolve the issue. CMO I incorporated a stipulated order about the production of electronically stored information, which identified the format in which the parties

should produce paper documents and electronically stored information.⁴ [Doc. <u>No. 242, Exh. B</u>; *see also* <u>Doc. No. 396</u> relating to electronically stored information].

On December 10, 2013, I entered a scheduling order that set deadlines for pleading amendments, discovery, dispositive motions, and bellwether trials.⁵ [Doc. No. 1118]. I vacated that scheduling order on February 3, 2014, in light of the master settlement agreement. [Doc. Nos. 1317 and 1317-1].

A considerable time interval followed, as PSC I worked to assure the requisite 90 percent of the plaintiffs joined the master settlement agreement, after which a second plaintiffs' steering committee got up to speed. The master settlement agreement led to the settlement and dismissal of most of the cases then in the docket, including the cases in which most steering committee members were counsel of record. As a result, I terminated PSC I on May 27, 2015 and appointed a new plaintiffs steering committee ("PSC II").⁶

⁴ CMO I also incorporated several other orders, entered the same day, including the Seventh Circuit's Standing Order Relating to the Discovery of Electronically Stored Information and an agreed upon protective order. [Doc. No. 242, Exhs. A and C].

⁵ This order required Biomet to (1) certify its production with respect to an initial set of 28 document custodians by January 3, 2014; (2) certify the production of an additional set of 39 identified document custodians and otherwise complete its production by May 12, 2014; (3) complete its privilege log production by April 11, 2014. Biomet certified the production of its initial document custodians within the time provided by the Scheduling Order. [Doc. No. 1164].

⁶ At this time, PSC II consists of (again, executive committee members are in boldface): **Brenda Fulmer** (Searcy, Denney, Scarola, Barnhart & Shipley, PA); **Navan Ward**(Beasley, Allen, Crow, Methvin, Portis & Miles, P.C.); J. Kyle Bachus (Bachus & Schanker, LLC); **Justin Presnal** (formerly with Fisher, Boyd, Johnson & Huguenard, LLP, but now with Simmons Hanly Conroy); Jasper Ward (Jones Ward); Amber Pang Parra (Justinian PLLC); and **Ahmed Diab** (Gomez Trial Attorneys).

Ms. Fulmer and Mr. Navan Ward serve as co-lead counsel, and Mr. Diab serves as liaison counsel for PSC II.

A common benefit fund is commonly used in mass tort litigation to compensate attorneys for services that benefit all of the plaintiffs in the MDL docket. On December 7, 2015, I ordered a provisional six percent holdback of amounts obtained by plaintiffs via settlement after December 7, 2015, excepting *pro se* plaintiffs. [Doc. No. 3022]. This order allocated five percent of the holdback for common benefit attorneys' fees and one percent for common benefit costs.

I entered a new scheduling order on December 21, 2015. [Doc. No. 3047]. That order set forth deadlines for additional generic and case-specific discovery, motions to exclude expert testimony, and some dispositive motions. What follows is what was done in the MDL under each category, and what might remain to be done in the receiving court.

Generic Discovery: Likely to Be Applicable in All Cases: Non-Expert Discovery. Discovery from Biomet and its personnel was extensive. The December 2015 scheduling order limited PSC II to an initial list of deponents from the 67 document custodians whose responsive documents Biomet had produced to PSC I, and called for PSC II to provide a supplemental list of deponents from the 67 custodians as well as people whose names arose during the depositions of the persons named on the first list. [Doc. No. 3047 at ¶ 5–6]. PSC II subsequently served on Biomet a list of proposed custodian-deponents and completed depositions of 14 Biomet employees,⁷ while PSC I already had taken eight Rule 30(b)(6) depositions of Biomet. These depositions were

⁷ PSCI II also cross-noticed in this action additional Biomet employee and third-party depositions taken in state-court proceedings. Biomet has reserved all objections to the use and admissibility of these depositions in a case pending in this multidistrict litigation.

completed by December 26, 2016, the deadline set in the scheduling order. The plaintiffs had a full opportunity to seek discovery from Biomet; the scheduling order contemplated that no further discovery from Biomet would be allowed.

<u>Generic Discovery: Likely to Be Applicable in All Cases: Expert Testimony,</u> <u>Objections, and Motion Practice</u>. PSC II served the generic expert reports of Francis H. Gannon, M.D., George S. Kantor, M.D., and Mari Truman, M.S.M.E., P.E. on February 23, 2018. In turn, Biomet served the generic expert reports of Thomas Fleeter, M.D., Steven R. Schmid, Ph.D., P.E., F ASME, David Schroeder, Daniel Schultz, M.D., Andrew I. Spitzer, M.D., and Kenneth St. John, Ph.D. on March 25, 2017.

On December 21, 2017, each side moved to exclude testimony as noncompliant with Federal Rule of Evidence 702 with respect to witnesses Schmid, Schroeder, Schultz, Spitzer, St. John, Truman, and Kantor. I denied these motions in part, and granted them in the following respects:

(1) I limited Mr. Schroeder's opinion regarding Biomet's compliance with codes, standards, and regulations to the role such compliance plays in device development and the development of the relevant Biomet devices;

(2) I precluded Dr. Spitzer from testifying as a tribology expert;

(3) I excluded Dr. St. John's opinion that metal-on-metal revision rates are artificially inflated;

(4) I precluded Dr. Kantor from testifying about the risks associated with and the design defects of Biomet devices because the record didn't demonstrate that he considered sufficient data in developing his opinion; and

(5) I excluded Dr. Kantor's opinion regarding the sufficiency of Biomet's testing and clinical studies.

[Doc. No. 3486].

No motions were filed to exclude testimony of Drs. Fleeter or Gannon before the deadlines for the *Daubert* challenges. A motion to exclude the testimony of either under Rule 702 would be untimely because the deadline governed all motions to exclude under Federal Rule of Evidence 702. The admissibility under Rule 702 of opinions and testimony for all generic (meaning not case-specific) experts to be used at trial have been heard and ruled upon in the MDL. [Doc. No. 3047 at ¶ 13]. Because of the vagaries of state laws, I did not rule on admissibility under Rules 401, 403, 703, or any other rule.

All of these witnesses have been deposed. I am allowing PSC II to take preservation depositions of their generic expert witnesses, but since the applicable substantive law will vary from state to state, I am leaving all rulings on any objections to the testimony elicited, as well as the decision whether to allow any particular plaintiff to substitute video for live testimony, to the receiving judges.

<u>Case Specific Discovery</u>. The December 21, 2015, scheduling order directed case-specific discovery to proceed in two groups, with additional discovery groups to be activated on a rolling basis. [Doc. No. 3047 at \P 7–11]. I didn't schedule bellwether trials at that point; in light of the Master Settlement Agreement, bellwether trials weren't needed to help understand the value of the claims.

Setting aside cases involving *pro* se plaintiffs,⁸ the cases were categorized into sequentially numbered discovery groups.⁹ As each group has been activated for limited case specific discovery, the parties have served and responded to particular interrogatories, limited requests for production, and particular requests for admission. The parties also could conduct depositions of (a) the plaintiffs, (b) the implanting surgeon, (c) the revising surgeon, (d) the Biomet representative who processed the request for the product used during the implant surgery, (e) any separate Biomet representatives who were present in the operating room during the implant or revision surgery, and (f) one additional fact witness per side. [*See, e.g., Doc.* No. 3047 at ¶ 8, 10].

The depositions I allowed might be enough for one individual case but not for another. What I allowed wasn't meant to be exhaustive, so additional depositions of witnesses not described in the previous paragraph might be needed in some cases. I suggest you demand a strong cause before

⁸ The December 21, 2015 Scheduling Order stayed cases involving *pro se* plaintiffs. On December 14, 2016, I ordered *pro se* litigants to submit a Declaration of Intent indicating whether they wanted to continue pursuing their cases. [Doc. No. 3270]. If any plaintiff indicated that s/he sought dismissal, or didn't return the Declaration of Intent in time, that case would be dismissed without prejudice. With respect to *pro se* plaintiffs who returned their declarations indicating intent to litigate further, the order required them to either (1) submit a Plaintiff's Expert Declaration of Causation form filled out by an orthopedic surgeon or (2) attend an in-person hearing. The order expressly provided that the failure to choose either of these two courses of action would lead to dismissal with prejudice. All identified *pro se* plaintiffs eventually either obtained counsel or had their case dismissed by this court, with only a few exceptions; those cases are proceeding with case-specific discovery.

⁹ Discovery in Groups 1 through 5 is complete, with discovery slated to close on February 26, 2019 in Group 6 and on July 12, 2019 in Group 7. I will address a discovery schedule for all remaining cases in February 2019.

allowing either side to take a deposition that could have been taken in the MDL, or to re-take one that already has been taken.

<u>Issue-Specific Discovery</u>. In a few selected cases chosen to tee up issues, the parties engaged in discovery with respect to statutes of limitation and repose and state-of-the-art defenses. That discovery consisted of (a) particular interrogatory questions; (b) limited document requests; and (c) plaintiff depositions, to enable Biomet to file motions for summary judgment on those grounds.

Statute of Limitations Discovery and Motion Practice. Biomet filed summary judgment motions in a test group of cases on the ground that the statute of limitations had elapsed in particular cases. Biomet argued that the applicable statute of limitations barred the plaintiffs' claims based on (1) a proposed bar date on which all plaintiffs were on constructive notice of potential claims and (2) facts specific to the plaintiffs. PSC II responded by arguing that (1) there was no set statute of limitations date that put all plaintiffs on notice and (2) facts specific to the individual plaintiffs' cases. I declined to accept Biomet's designated bar date to apply to all plaintiffs, but granted some of the summary judgment motions in whole or in part on other grounds. *See Pizzitolo; Slater; Brown; Guynn; Miles; Fahy; Cutter.* A second round of statute of limitations summary judgment motions is before me at the time of this pretrial order.

Discovery going to the timeliness of suit only took place in selected cases, so some additional timeliness discovery might be needed in some cases.

Spoliation Discovery and Motion Practice. I also allowed discovery in a few selected cases with respect to a spoliation issue. I entered the first pretrial order on October 12, 2012. [Doc. No. 3]. Among other things, that order required parties to take reasonable steps to preserve documents and other records potentially relevant to these actions. On March 7, 2013, I entered an Explant Preservation Order, which governed the retrieval and analysis of M2a MagnumTM and M2a-38TM devices explanted from plaintiffs in this litigation, as well as any tissue samples retrieved during any revision surgery. [Doc. No. 279]. I entered an Amended Explant Preservation Order on November 24, 2015. [Doc. No. 3008].

In September and November 2016, Biomet moved for summary judgment in some sample cases on the ground that certain plaintiffs had failed to preserve their M2a device, and this failure constituted either (1) a violation of the orders requiring preservation of explanted devices; (2) a demonstration of fault subject to the sanction of dismissal; or (3) spoliation. Because the explant surgeries had occurred before the suits were filed, so the plaintiffs didn't know of the duty to preserve until it was impossible to comply, I denied these motions. *See* <u>Spoliation</u> <u>Order</u>.

I only addressed a handful of representative cases, and declined to consider state-specific spoliation rules. Given those limitations, a transferee court might see motion practice based on a state-law-based spoliation theory.

<u>State of the Art Defense</u>. Biomet moved for summary judgment in some cases on the ground that the M2a devices were state of the art and that plaintiffs had failed to demonstrate a feasible alternative design. I denied those motions without prejudice because the motions required the application of the law of

many states, and I thought the receiving courts could decide those issues sooner and better. [Doc. No. 3511]. These issues might reappear after transfer.

What Might Remain to be Done After Remand

The cases will be remanded or transferred in groups of about sixty. Some law firms will have multiple cases within a group being remanded, so logistical and scheduling problems are inevitable. All plaintiffs' counsel, PSC II, and Biomet's counsel are expected to confer regularly about trial dates and other issues so counsel for plaintiffs and Biomet aren't overly burdened by overlapping trial schedules and receiving courts can use their time efficiently.

Any case might present its own atypical need, but for the most part, here is what will be left to do after remand: (1) additional, non-duplicative, casespecific depositions; (2) disclosure of case-specific experts, service of casespecific expert reports, and case-specific expert depositions; (3) any motions addressing the testimony of case-specific experts; (4) any motions (or, perhaps, trial objections) directed to the recorded trial testimony of the plaintiffs' generic experts; (5) any other motions addressing the testimony of generic or casespecific experts; and (6) any summary judgment motions.

Procedures on Transfer.

The Clerk of this court will issue a letter to the receiving courts by email, setting out the process for transferring the individual cases listed in Exhibit A. The letter and certified copy of this order will be sent to the receiving court's email address.

If a party believes that the docket sheet for a particular case being transferred is incorrect, a party to that case may, with notice to all other parties in the case, file with the receiving court a Designation Amending the Record. Upon receiving a Designation Amending the Record, the receiving court may make any needed changes to the docket. If the docket is revised to include additional documents, the parties should provide those documents to the receiving court.

EXHIBIT A

3:13-CV-1371Saenz v. Biomet, Inc. $3:14$ -CV-768Marous v. Biomet, Inc. $3:14$ -CV-1055Slater v. Biomet, Inc. $3:14$ -CV-1055Slater v. Biomet, Inc. $3:14$ -CV-1675Crampton-Humphreys v. Biomet $3:14$ -CV-1783Bauman et al v. Biomet, Inc. $3:14$ -CV-1783Bauman et al v. Biomet, Inc. $3:14$ -CV-1981Harris v. Biomet, Inc. $3:14$ -CV-19202Broderick et al v. Biomet, Inc. $3:14$ -CV-2052Broderick et al v. Biomet, Inc. $3:15$ -CV-13Zamora v. Biomet, Inc. $3:15$ -CV-106George v. Biomet, Inc. $3:15$ -CV-117Sidlinger et al v. Biomet, Inc. $3:15$ -CV-120Smith v. Biomet, Inc. $3:15$ -CV-147Whiten v. Biomet, Inc. $3:15$ -CV-166George v. Biomet, Inc. $3:15$ -CV-167Elkins v. Biomet, Inc. $3:15$ -CV-367Elkins v. Biomet, Inc. $3:15$ -CV-416Kleinhuizen v. Biomet, Inc. $3:15$ -CV-431DeBaun v. Biomet, Inc. $3:15$ -CV-434Cutter v. Biomet, Inc. $3:15$ -CV-434Cutter v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16$ -CV-100Briggs v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16$ -CV-101Kent et al v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16$ -CV-105Edwards v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16$ -CV-157Harbold v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16$ -CV-158Kandel v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16$ -CV-159Smith v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16$ -CV-163Avena et al v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16$ -CV-159Smith v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16$ -CV-163Locke v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16$ -CV-164Dood et al v. Biomet	<u>Cause No.</u>	Case Name
3:14-CV-768Marous v. Biomet, Inc. $3:14-CV-1055$ Slater v. Biomet, Inc. $3:14-CV-1055$ Slater v. Biomet, Inc. $3:14-CV-1055$ Crampton-Humphreys v. Biomet $3:14-CV-1582$ Milligan v. Biomet, Inc. $3:14-CV-1783$ Bauman et al v. Biomet, Inc. $3:14-CV-1981$ Harris v. Biomet, Inc. $3:14-CV-2034$ Gonzalez v. Biomet, Inc. $3:14-CV-2052$ Broderick et al v. Biomet, Inc. $3:14-CV-2052$ Broderick et al v. Biomet, Inc. $3:15-CV-13$ Zamora v. Biomet, Inc. $3:15-CV-13$ Zamora v. Biomet, Inc. $3:15-CV-10$ Smith v. Biomet, Inc. $3:15-CV-117$ Sidlinger et al v. Biomet, Inc. $3:15-CV-120$ Smith v. Biomet, Inc. $3:15-CV-120$ Smith v. Biomet, Inc. $3:15-CV-147$ Whiten v. Biomet, Inc. $3:15-CV-272$ Hollins et al v. Biomet, Inc. $3:15-CV-367$ Elkins v. Biomet, Inc. $3:15-CV-367$ Elkins v. Biomet, Inc. $3:15-CV-416$ Kleinhuizen v. Biomet, Inc. $3:15-CV-431$ DeBaun v. Biomet, Inc. $3:15-CV-434$ Cutter v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16-CV-434$ Cutter v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16-CV-100$ Briggs v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16-CV-157$ Harbold v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16-CV-158$ Kandel v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16-CV-244$ Locke v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16-CV-344$ Carter et al v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16-CV-322$ Bryngelson et al v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16-CV-503$ Lombardi v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16-CV-521$ Garnes et	3:13-CV-1371	Saenz v. Biomet. Inc.
3:14-CV-946Arndt v. Biomet, Inc. $3:14-CV-1055$ Slater v. Biomet, Inc. $3:14-CV-1582$ Milligan v. Biomet, Inc. $3:14-CV-1575$ Crampton-Humphreys v. Biomet $3:14-CV-1783$ Bauman et al v. Biomet, Inc. $3:14-CV-1981$ Harris v. Biomet, Inc. $3:14-CV-2034$ Gonzalez v. Biomet, Inc. $3:14-CV-2052$ Broderick et al v. Biomet, Inc. $3:15-CV-13$ Zamora v. Biomet, Inc. $3:15-CV-13$ Zamora v. Biomet, Inc. $3:15-CV-147$ Whiten v. Biomet, Inc. $3:15-CV-120$ Smith v. Biomet, Inc. $3:15-CV-147$ Whiten v. Biomet, Inc. $3:15-CV-166$ George v. Biomet, Inc. $3:15-CV-272$ Hollins et al v. Biomet, Inc. $3:15-CV-367$ Elkins v. Biomet, Inc. $3:15-CV-367$ Elkins v. Biomet, Inc. $3:15-CV-416$ Kleinhuizen v. Biomet, Inc. $3:15-CV-431$ DeBaun v. Biomet, Inc. $3:15-CV-434$ Cutter v. Biomet, Inc. $3:15-CV-590$ Brown et al v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16-CV-100$ Briggs v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16-CV-101$ Kent et al v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16-CV-105$ Edwards v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16-CV-157$ Harbold v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16-CV-158$ Kandel v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16-CV-244$ Locke v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16-CV-322$ Bryngelson et al v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16-CV-344$ Carter		
3:14-CV-1055Slater v. Biomet, Inc. $3:14-CV-1582$ Milligan v. Biomet, Inc. $3:14-CV-1675$ Crampton-Humphreys v. Biomet $3:14-CV-1675$ Gonzalez v. Biomet, Inc. $3:14-CV-1783$ Bauman et al v. Biomet, Inc. $3:14-CV-1981$ Harris v. Biomet, Inc. $3:14-CV-2034$ Gonzalez v. Biomet, Inc. $3:14-CV-2052$ Broderick et al v. Biomet, Inc. $3:15-CV-13$ Zamora v. Biomet, Inc. $3:15-CV-13$ Zamora v. Biomet, Inc. $3:15-CV-147$ Whiten v. Biomet, Inc. $3:15-CV-120$ Smith v. Biomet, Inc. $3:15-CV-147$ Whiten v. Biomet, Inc. $3:15-CV-147$ Whiten v. Biomet, Inc. $3:15-CV-166$ George v. Biomet, Inc. $3:15-CV-367$ Elkins v. Biomet, Inc. $3:15-CV-416$ Kleinhuizen v. Biomet, Inc. $3:15-CV-416$ Kleinhuizen v. Biomet, Inc. $3:15-CV-431$ DeBaun v. Biomet, Inc. $3:15-CV-431$ DeBaun v. Biomet, Inc. $3:15-CV-431$ DeBaun v. Biomet, Inc. $3:15-CV-400$ Briggs v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16-CV-100$ Briggs v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16-CV-101$ Kent et al v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16-CV-158$ Kandel v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16-CV-158$ Kandel v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16-CV-188$ Avena et al v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16-CV-244$ Locke v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16-CV-322$ Bryngelson et al v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16-CV-344$ Carter et al v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16-CV-344$ Carter et al v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16-CV-503$ Lombardi v. B	3:14-CV-946	•
3:14-CV-1582Milligan v. Biomet, Inc. $3:14-CV-1675$ Crampton-Humphreys v. Biomet $3:14-CV-1783$ Bauman et al v. Biomet, Inc. $3:14-CV-1981$ Harris v. Biomet, Inc. $3:14-CV-2034$ Gonzalez v. Biomet, Inc. $3:14-CV-2052$ Broderick et al v. Biomet, Inc. $3:15-CV-13$ Zamora v. Biomet, Inc. $3:15-CV-13$ Zamora v. Biomet, Inc. $3:15-CV-13$ Sidlinger et al v. Biomet, Inc. $3:15-CV-147$ Whiten v. Biomet, Inc. $3:15-CV-147$ Whiten v. Biomet, Inc. $3:15-CV-147$ Whiten v. Biomet, Inc. $3:15-CV-166$ George v. Biomet, Inc. $3:15-CV-166$ George v. Biomet, Inc. $3:15-CV-367$ Elkins v. Biomet, Inc. $3:15-CV-416$ Kleinhuizen v. Biomet, Inc. $3:15-CV-416$ Beaun v. Biomet, Inc. $3:15-CV-416$ Beaun v. Biomet, Inc. $3:15-CV-416$ Kent et al v. Biomet, Inc. $3:15-CV-416$ Beaun v. Biomet, Inc. $3:15-CV-416$ Kent et al v. Biomet, Inc. $3:15-CV-416$ Kent et al v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16-CV-100$ Briggs v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16-CV-101$ Kent et al v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16-CV-158$ Kandel v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16-CV-188$ Avena et al v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16-CV-244$	3:14-CV-1055	
3:14-CV-1675Crampton-Humphreys v. Biomet $3:14-CV-1783$ Bauman et al v. Biomet, Inc. $3:14-CV-1981$ Harris v. Biomet, Inc. $3:14-CV-2034$ Gonzalez v. Biomet, Inc. $3:14-CV-2052$ Broderick et al v. Biomet, Inc. $3:15-CV-13$ Zamora v. Biomet, Inc. $3:15-CV-13$ Zamora v. Biomet, Inc. $3:15-CV-11$ Sidlinger et al v. Biomet, Inc. $3:15-CV-117$ Sidlinger et al v. Biomet, Inc. $3:15-CV-120$ Smith v. Biomet, Inc. $3:15-CV-147$ Whiten v. Biomet, Inc. $3:15-CV-166$ George v. Biomet, Inc. $3:15-CV-166$ George v. Biomet, Inc. $3:15-CV-367$ Elkins v. Biomet, Inc. $3:15-CV-367$ Elkins v. Biomet, Inc. $3:15-CV-416$ Kleinhuizen v. Biomet, Inc. $3:15-CV-416$ Kleinhuizen v. Biomet, Inc. $3:15-CV-416$ Kleinhuizen v. Biomet, Inc. $3:15-CV-431$ DeBaun v. Biomet, Inc. $3:15-CV-434$ Cutter v. Biomet, Inc. $3:15-CV-4062$ Davis et al v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16-CV-4044$ Cutter v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16-CV-105$ Edwards v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16-CV-105$ Edwards v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16-CV-158$ Kandel v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16-CV-244$ Locke v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16-CV-324$ Dood et al v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16-CV-344$ Carter et al v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16-CV-503$ Lombardi v. B		
3:14-CV-1783Bauman et al v. Biomet, Inc. $3:14-CV-1981$ Harris v. Biomet, Inc. $3:14-CV-2034$ Gonzalez v. Biomet, Inc. $3:14-CV-2052$ Broderick et al v. Biomet, Inc. $3:15-CV-13$ Zamora v. Biomet, Inc. $3:15-CV-13$ Zamora v. Biomet, Inc. $3:15-CV-13$ Sidlinger et al v. Biomet, Inc. $3:15-CV-10$ Smith v. Biomet, Inc. $3:15-CV-117$ Sidlinger et al v. Biomet, Inc. $3:15-CV-120$ Smith v. Biomet, Inc. $3:15-CV-147$ Whiten v. Biomet, Inc. $3:15-CV-147$ Whiten v. Biomet, Inc. $3:15-CV-272$ Hollins et al v. Biomet, Inc. $3:15-CV-367$ Elkins v. Biomet, Inc. $3:15-CV-367$ Elkins v. Biomet, Inc. $3:15-CV-416$ Kleinhuizen v. Biomet, Inc. $3:15-CV-416$ Mason v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16-CV-402$ Davis et al v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16-CV-101$ Kent et al v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16-CV-157$ Harbold v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16-CV-158$ Kandel v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16-CV-244$ Locke v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16-CV-244$ Locke v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16-CV-322$ Bryngelson et al v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16-CV-324$ Loode et al v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16-CV-324$ Loode et al v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16-CV-5$	3:14-CV-1675	
3:14-CV-1981Harris v. Biomet, Inc. $3:14-CV-2034$ Gonzalez v. Biomet, Inc. $3:14-CV-2052$ Broderick et al v. Biomet, Inc. $3:15-CV-13$ Zamora v. Biomet, Inc. $3:15-CV-13$ Zamora v. Biomet, Inc. $3:15-CV-13$ Sidlinger et al v. Biomet, Inc. $3:15-CV-117$ Sidlinger et al v. Biomet, Inc. $3:15-CV-120$ Smith v. Biomet, Inc. $3:15-CV-147$ Whiten v. Biomet, Inc. $3:15-CV-147$ Whiten v. Biomet, Inc. $3:15-CV-166$ George v. Biomet, Inc. $3:15-CV-367$ Elkins v. Biomet, Inc. $3:15-CV-367$ Elkins v. Biomet, Inc. $3:15-CV-416$ Kleinhuizen v. Biomet, Inc. $3:15-CV-416$ Kleinhuizen v. Biomet, Inc. $3:15-CV-416$ Kleinhuizen v. Biomet, Inc. $3:15-CV-431$ DeBaun v. Biomet, Inc. $3:15-CV-434$ Cutter v. Biomet, Inc. $3:15-CV-590$ Brown et al v. Biomet, Inc. $3:15-CV-602$ Davis et al v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16-CV-100$ Briggs v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16-CV-101$ Kent et al v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16-CV-157$ Harbold v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16-CV-158$ Kandel v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16-CV-159$ Smith v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16-CV-267$ Jones et al v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16-CV-324$ Carter et al v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16-CV-344$ Carter et al v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16-CV-503$ Lombardi v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16-CV-504$ Dood et al v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16-CV-503$ Lombardi v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16-CV-504$ Doo	3:14-CV-1783	1 1 0
3:14-CV-2052Broderick et al v. Biomet, Inc. $3:15-CV-13$ Zamora v. Biomet, Inc. $3:15-CV-13$ Sidlinger et al v. Biomet, Inc. $3:15-CV-117$ Sidlinger et al v. Biomet, Inc. $3:15-CV-120$ Smith v. Biomet, Inc. $3:15-CV-120$ Smith v. Biomet, Inc. $3:15-CV-147$ Whiten v. Biomet, Inc. $3:15-CV-147$ Whiten v. Biomet, Inc. $3:15-CV-367$ Elkins v. Biomet, Inc. $3:15-CV-367$ Elkins v. Biomet, Inc. $3:15-CV-367$ Elkins v. Biomet, Inc. $3:15-CV-416$ Kleinhuizen v. Biomet, Inc. $3:15-CV-431$ DeBaun v. Biomet, Inc. $3:15-CV-434$ Cutter v. Biomet, Inc. $3:15-CV-590$ Brown et al v. Biomet, Inc. $3:15-CV-602$ Davis et al v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16-CV-590$ Brown et al v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16-CV-100$ Briggs v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16-CV-101$ Kent et al v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16-CV-157$ Harbold v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16-CV-158$ Kandel v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16-CV-159$ Smith v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16-CV-267$ Jones et al v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16-CV-322$ Bryngelson et al v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16-CV-344$ Carter et al v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16-CV-503$ Lombardi v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16-CV-503$ Lombardi v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16-CV-503$ Lombardi v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16-CV-514$ Dood et al v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16-CV-514$ Dood et al v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16-CV-514$ Locke v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16-CV-514$ Lom	3:14-CV-1981	Harris v. Biomet, Inc.
3:15-CV-13Zamora v. Biomet, Inc. $3:15$ -CV-90Hippe et al v. Biomet, Inc. $3:15$ -CV-117Sidlinger et al v. Biomet, Inc. $3:15$ -CV-120Smith v. Biomet, Inc. $3:15$ -CV-147Whiten v. Biomet, Inc. $3:15$ -CV-146George v. Biomet, Inc. $3:15$ -CV-272Hollins et al v. Biomet, Inc. $3:15$ -CV-367Elkins v. Biomet, Inc. $3:15$ -CV-416Kleinhuizen v. Biomet, Inc. $3:15$ -CV-431DeBaun v. Biomet, Inc. $3:15$ -CV-434Cutter v. Biomet, Inc. $3:15$ -CV-434Cutter v. Biomet, Inc. $3:15$ -CV-590Brown et al v. Biomet, Inc. $3:15$ -CV-590Brown et al v. Biomet, Inc. $3:15$ -CV-602Davis et al v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16$ -CV-9Mason v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16$ -CV-100Briggs v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16$ -CV-101Kent et al v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16$ -CV-157Harbold v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16$ -CV-158Kandel v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16$ -CV-159Smith v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16$ -CV-267Jones et al v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16$ -CV-267Jones et al v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16$ -CV-322Bryngelson et al v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16$ -CV-503Lombardi v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16$ -CV-504Dood et al v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16$ -CV-505Tullos v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16$ -CV-508Tullos v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16$ -CV-511Garnes et al v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16$ -CV-521Garnes et al v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16$ -CV-531Pratt v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16$ -CV-547Zuber v.	3:14-CV-2034	Gonzalez v. Biomet, Inc.
3:15-CV-90Hippe et al v. Biomet, Inc. $3:15-CV-117$ Sidlinger et al v. Biomet, Inc. $3:15-CV-120$ Smith v. Biomet, Inc. $3:15-CV-120$ Smith v. Biomet, Inc. $3:15-CV-147$ Whiten v. Biomet, Inc. $3:15-CV-166$ George v. Biomet, Inc. $3:15-CV-272$ Hollins et al v. Biomet, Inc. $3:15-CV-367$ Elkins v. Biomet, Inc. $3:15-CV-367$ Elkins v. Biomet, Inc. $3:15-CV-416$ Kleinhuizen v. Biomet, Inc. $3:15-CV-431$ DeBaun v. Biomet, Inc. $3:15-CV-434$ Cutter v. Biomet, Inc. $3:15-CV-590$ Brown et al v. Biomet, Inc. $3:15-CV-590$ Brown et al v. Biomet, Inc. $3:15-CV-602$ Davis et al v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16-CV-590$ Brown et al v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16-CV-100$ Briggs v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16-CV-101$ Kent et al v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16-CV-105$ Edwards v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16-CV-157$ Harbold v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16-CV-158$ Kandel v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16-CV-159$ Smith v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16-CV-159$ Smith v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16-CV-244$ Locke v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16-CV-322$ Bryngelson et al v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16-CV-344$ Carter et al v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16-CV-503$ Lombardi v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16-CV-5047$ Juoes v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16-CV-508$ Tullos v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16-CV-511$ Garnes et al v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16-CV-521$ Garnes et al v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16-CV-547$ Zuber v.	3:14-CV-2052	Broderick et al v. Biomet, Inc.
3:15-CV-117Sidlinger et al v. Biomet, Inc. $3:15-CV-120$ Smith v. Biomet, Inc. $3:15-CV-147$ Whiten v. Biomet, Inc. $3:15-CV-166$ George v. Biomet, Inc. $3:15-CV-272$ Hollins et al v. Biomet, Inc. $3:15-CV-367$ Elkins v. Biomet, Inc. $3:15-CV-416$ Kleinhuizen v. Biomet, Inc. $3:15-CV-416$ Kleinhuizen v. Biomet, Inc. $3:15-CV-431$ DeBaun v. Biomet, Inc. $3:15-CV-434$ Cutter v. Biomet, Inc. $3:15-CV-434$ Cutter v. Biomet, Inc. $3:15-CV-602$ Davis et al v. Biomet, Inc. $3:15-CV-602$ Davis et al v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16-CV-100$ Briggs v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16-CV-101$ Kent et al v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16-CV-105$ Edwards v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16-CV-157$ Harbold v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16-CV-158$ Kandel v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16-CV-159$ Smith v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16-CV-188$ Avena et al v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16-CV-267$ Jones et al v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16-CV-322$ Bryngelson et al v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16-CV-344$ Carter et al v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16-CV-503$ Lombardi v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16-CV-514$ Garnes et al v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16-CV-521$ Garnes et al v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16-CV-547$ <td>3:15-CV-13</td> <td>Zamora v. Biomet, Inc.</td>	3:15-CV-13	Zamora v. Biomet, Inc.
3:15-CV-120Smith v. Biomet, Inc. $3:15-CV-147$ Whiten v. Biomet, Inc. $3:15-CV-166$ George v. Biomet, Inc. $3:15-CV-272$ Hollins et al v. Biomet, Inc. $3:15-CV-367$ Elkins v. Biomet, Inc. $3:15-CV-416$ Kleinhuizen v. Biomet, Inc. $3:15-CV-416$ Kleinhuizen v. Biomet, Inc. $3:15-CV-416$ Kleinhuizen v. Biomet, Inc. $3:15-CV-431$ DeBaun v. Biomet, Inc. $3:15-CV-434$ Cutter v. Biomet, Inc. $3:15-CV-434$ Cutter v. Biomet, Inc. $3:15-CV-602$ Davis et al v. Biomet, Inc. $3:15-CV-602$ Davis et al v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16-CV-9$ Mason v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16-CV-100$ Briggs v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16-CV-105$ Edwards v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16-CV-105$ Edwards v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16-CV-157$ Harbold v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16-CV-158$ Kandel v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16-CV-159$ Smith v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16-CV-244$ Locke v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16-CV-322$ Bryngelson et al v. Biomet $3:16-CV-344$ Carter et al v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16-CV-503$ Lombardi v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16-CV-508$ Tullos v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16-CV-508$ Tullos v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16-CV-512$ Garnes et al v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16-CV-521$ Garnes et al v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16-CV-547$ Zuber v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16-CV-547$ Zuber v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16-CV-547$ Zuber v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16-CV-547$ Gowens et al v. Biomet, Inc. </td <td>3:15-CV-90</td> <td>Hippe et al v. Biomet, Inc.</td>	3:15-CV-90	Hippe et al v. Biomet, Inc.
3:15-CV-147Whiten v. Biomet, Inc. $3:15-CV-166$ George v. Biomet, Inc. $3:15-CV-272$ Hollins et al v. Biomet, Inc. $3:15-CV-367$ Elkins v. Biomet, Inc. $3:15-CV-416$ Kleinhuizen v. Biomet, Inc. $3:15-CV-416$ Kleinhuizen v. Biomet, Inc. $3:15-CV-431$ DeBaun v. Biomet, Inc. $3:15-CV-434$ Cutter v. Biomet, Inc. $3:15-CV-434$ Cutter v. Biomet, Inc. $3:15-CV-590$ Brown et al v. Biomet, Inc. $3:15-CV-602$ Davis et al v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16-CV-9$ Mason v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16-CV-100$ Briggs v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16-CV-105$ Edwards v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16-CV-157$ Harbold v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16-CV-158$ Kandel v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16-CV-159$ Smith v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16-CV-244$ Locke v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16-CV-322$ Bryngelson et al v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16-CV-344$ Carter et al v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16-CV-503$ Lombardi v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16-CV-5047$ Zuber v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16-CV-521$ Garnes et al v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16-CV-581$ Pratt v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16-CV-581$ Pratt v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16-CV-613$ Gowens et al v. Biomet, Inc.	3:15-CV-117	Sidlinger et al v. Biomet, Inc.
3:15-CV-166George v. Biomet, Inc. $3:15-CV-272$ Hollins et al v. Biomet, Inc. $3:15-CV-367$ Elkins v. Biomet, Inc. $3:15-CV-416$ Kleinhuizen v. Biomet, Inc. $3:15-CV-431$ DeBaun v. Biomet, Inc. $3:15-CV-434$ Cutter v. Biomet, Inc. $3:15-CV-434$ Cutter v. Biomet, Inc. $3:15-CV-434$ Cutter v. Biomet, Inc. $3:15-CV-602$ Davis et al v. Biomet, Inc. $3:15-CV-602$ Davis et al v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16-CV-9$ Mason v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16-CV-100$ Briggs v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16-CV-105$ Edwards v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16-CV-105$ Edwards v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16-CV-157$ Harbold v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16-CV-158$ Kandel v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16-CV-159$ Smith v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16-CV-244$ Locke v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16-CV-322$ Bryngelson et al v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16-CV-344$ Carter et al v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16-CV-503$ Lombardi v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16-CV-503$ Lombardi v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16-CV-503$ Lombardi v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16-CV-514$ Garnes et al v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16-CV-521$ Garnes et al v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16-CV-547$ Zuber v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16-CV-547$ Zuber v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16-CV-547$ Gowens et al v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16-CV-541$ Gowens et al v. Biomet, Inc.	3:15-CV-120	Smith v. Biomet, Inc.
3:15-CV-272Hollins et al v. Biomet, Inc. $3:15-CV-367$ Elkins v. Biomet, Inc. $3:15-CV-416$ Kleinhuizen v. Biomet, Inc. $3:15-CV-431$ DeBaun v. Biomet, Inc. $3:15-CV-434$ Cutter v. Biomet, Inc. $3:15-CV-434$ Cutter v. Biomet, Inc. $3:15-CV-602$ Davis et al v. Biomet, Inc. $3:15-CV-602$ Davis et al v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16-CV-602$ Davis et al v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16-CV-100$ Briggs v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16-CV-101$ Kent et al v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16-CV-105$ Edwards v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16-CV-157$ Harbold v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16-CV-158$ Kandel v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16-CV-159$ Smith v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16-CV-159$ Smith v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16-CV-188$ Avena et al v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16-CV-224$ Locke v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16-CV-322$ Bryngelson et al v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16-CV-344$ Carter et al v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16-CV-503$ Lombardi v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16-CV-504$ Dood et al v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16-CV-508$ Tullos v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16-CV-511$ Garnes et al v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16-CV-521$ Garnes et al v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16-CV-547$ Zuber v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16-CV-581$ Pratt v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16-CV-581$ Pratt v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16-CV-613$ Gowens et al v. Biomet, Inc.	3:15-CV-147	Whiten v. Biomet, Inc.
3:15-CV-367Elkins v. Biomet, Inc. $3:15-CV-416$ Kleinhuizen v. Biomet, Inc. $3:15-CV-431$ DeBaun v. Biomet, Inc. $3:15-CV-434$ Cutter v. Biomet, Inc. $3:15-CV-434$ Cutter v. Biomet, Inc. $3:15-CV-590$ Brown et al v. Biomet, Inc. $3:15-CV-602$ Davis et al v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16-CV-602$ Davis et al v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16-CV-100$ Briggs v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16-CV-101$ Kent et al v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16-CV-105$ Edwards v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16-CV-157$ Harbold v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16-CV-158$ Kandel v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16-CV-159$ Smith v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16-CV-159$ Smith v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16-CV-188$ Avena et al v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16-CV-267$ Jones et al v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16-CV-322$ Bryngelson et al v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16-CV-344$ Carter et al v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16-CV-503$ Lombardi v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16-CV-504$ Dood et al v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16-CV-503$ Lombardi v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16-CV-512$ Garnes et al v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16-CV-521$ Garnes et al v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16-CV-547$ Zuber v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16-CV-581$ Pratt v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16-CV-613$ Gowens et al v. Biomet, Inc.	3:15-CV-166	George v. Biomet, Inc.
3:15-CV-416Kleinhuizen v. Biomet, Inc. $3:15-CV-431$ DeBaun v. Biomet, Inc. $3:15-CV-434$ Cutter v. Biomet, Inc. $3:15-CV-590$ Brown et al v. Biomet, Inc. $3:15-CV-602$ Davis et al v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16-CV-90$ Mason v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16-CV-100$ Briggs v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16-CV-101$ Kent et al v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16-CV-105$ Edwards v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16-CV-157$ Harbold v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16-CV-158$ Kandel v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16-CV-159$ Smith v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16-CV-244$ Locke v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16-CV-267$ Jones et al v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16-CV-322$ Bryngelson et al v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16-CV-344$ Carter et al v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16-CV-503$ Lombardi v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16-CV-5047$ Julos v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16-CV-521$ Garnes et al v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16-CV-547$ Zuber v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16-CV-547$ Zuber v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16-CV-547$ Pratt v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16-CV-613$ Gowens et al v. Biomet, Inc.	3:15-CV-272	Hollins et al v. Biomet, Inc.
3:15-CV-431DeBaun v. Biomet, Inc. $3:15-CV-434$ Cutter v. Biomet, Inc. $3:15-CV-590$ Brown et al v. Biomet, Inc. $3:15-CV-602$ Davis et al v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16-CV-9$ Mason v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16-CV-100$ Briggs v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16-CV-101$ Kent et al v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16-CV-105$ Edwards v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16-CV-105$ Edwards v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16-CV-105$ Edwards v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16-CV-157$ Harbold v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16-CV-158$ Kandel v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16-CV-159$ Smith v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16-CV-188$ Avena et al v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16-CV-244$ Locke v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16-CV-267$ Jones et al v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16-CV-322$ Bryngelson et al v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16-CV-344$ Carter et al v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16-CV-503$ Lombardi v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16-CV-5047$ Julos v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16-CV-511$ Garnes et al v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16-CV-521$ Garnes et al v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16-CV-547$ Zuber v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16-CV-547$ Zuber v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16-CV-541$ Pratt v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16-CV-613$ Gowens et al v. Biomet, Inc.	3:15-CV-367	Elkins v. Biomet, Inc.
3:15-CV-434Cutter v. Biomet, Inc. $3:15-CV-590$ Brown et al v. Biomet, Inc. $3:15-CV-602$ Davis et al v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16-CV-9$ Mason v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16-CV-100$ Briggs v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16-CV-101$ Kent et al v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16-CV-105$ Edwards v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16-CV-105$ Edwards v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16-CV-157$ Harbold v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16-CV-157$ Harbold v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16-CV-158$ Kandel v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16-CV-159$ Smith v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16-CV-188$ Avena et al v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16-CV-244$ Locke v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16-CV-267$ Jones et al v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16-CV-322$ Bryngelson et al v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16-CV-344$ Carter et al v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16-CV-503$ Lombardi v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16-CV-5047$ Julos v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16-CV-511$ Garnes et al v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16-CV-521$ Garnes et al v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16-CV-547$ Zuber v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16-CV-541$ Pratt v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16-CV-541$ Gowens et al v. Biomet, Inc.		Kleinhuizen v. Biomet, Inc.
3:15-CV-590Brown et al v. Biomet, Inc. $3:15-CV-602$ Davis et al v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16-CV-9$ Mason v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16-CV-100$ Briggs v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16-CV-101$ Kent et al v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16-CV-105$ Edwards v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16-CV-105$ Edwards v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16-CV-157$ Harbold v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16-CV-158$ Kandel v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16-CV-158$ Kandel v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16-CV-159$ Smith v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16-CV-188$ Avena et al v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16-CV-244$ Locke v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16-CV-267$ Jones et al v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16-CV-322$ Bryngelson et al v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16-CV-344$ Carter et al v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16-CV-503$ Lombardi v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16-CV-503$ Lombardi v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16-CV-5047$ Zuber v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16-CV-547$ Zuber v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16-CV-541$ Pratt v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16-CV-613$ Gowens et al v. Biomet, Inc.		
3:15-CV-602Davis et al v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16$ -CV-9Mason v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16$ -CV-100Briggs v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16$ -CV-101Kent et al v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16$ -CV-105Edwards v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16$ -CV-157Harbold v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16$ -CV-158Kandel v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16$ -CV-159Smith v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16$ -CV-159Smith v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16$ -CV-188Avena et al v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16$ -CV-244Locke v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16$ -CV-267Jones et al v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16$ -CV-322Bryngelson et al v. Biomet $3:16$ -CV-344Carter et al v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16$ -CV-503Lombardi v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16$ -CV-504Dood et al v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16$ -CV-508Tullos v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16$ -CV-521Garnes et al v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16$ -CV-547Zuber v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16$ -CV-581Pratt v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16$ -CV-613Gowens et al v. Biomet, Inc.		
3:16-CV-9Mason v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16-CV-100$ Briggs v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16-CV-101$ Kent et al v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16-CV-105$ Edwards v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16-CV-157$ Harbold v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16-CV-158$ Kandel v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16-CV-159$ Smith v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16-CV-188$ Avena et al v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16-CV-188$ Avena et al v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16-CV-244$ Locke v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16-CV-267$ Jones et al v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16-CV-322$ Bryngelson et al v. Biomet $3:16-CV-344$ Carter et al v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16-CV-503$ Lombardi v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16-CV-508$ Tullos v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16-CV-511$ Garnes et al v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16-CV-547$ Zuber v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16-CV-581$ Pratt v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16-CV-613$ Gowens et al v. Biomet, Inc.		-
3:16-CV-100Briggs v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16$ -CV-101Kent et al v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16$ -CV-105Edwards v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16$ -CV-157Harbold v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16$ -CV-158Kandel v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16$ -CV-159Smith v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16$ -CV-188Avena et al v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16$ -CV-244Locke v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16$ -CV-267Jones et al v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16$ -CV-267Jones et al v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16$ -CV-322Bryngelson et al v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16$ -CV-344Carter et al v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16$ -CV-503Lombardi v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16$ -CV-504Tullos v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16$ -CV-505Tullos v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16$ -CV-508Tullos v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16$ -CV-547Zuber v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16$ -CV-581Pratt v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16$ -CV-613Gowens et al v. Biomet, Inc.		
3:16-CV-101Kent et al v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16-CV-105$ Edwards v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16-CV-157$ Harbold v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16-CV-158$ Kandel v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16-CV-159$ Smith v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16-CV-188$ Avena et al v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16-CV-188$ Avena et al v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16-CV-244$ Locke v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16-CV-267$ Jones et al v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16-CV-322$ Bryngelson et al v. Biomet $3:16-CV-344$ Carter et al v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16-CV-463$ Dood et al v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16-CV-503$ Lombardi v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16-CV-508$ Tullos v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16-CV-511$ Garnes et al v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16-CV-521$ Garnes et al v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16-CV-547$ Zuber v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16-CV-581$ Pratt v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16-CV-613$ Gowens et al v. Biomet, Inc.		
3:16-CV-105Edwards v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16-CV-157$ Harbold v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16-CV-158$ Kandel v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16-CV-159$ Smith v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16-CV-188$ Avena et al v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16-CV-244$ Locke v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16-CV-267$ Jones et al v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16-CV-322$ Bryngelson et al v. Biomet $3:16-CV-344$ Carter et al v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16-CV-344$ Carter et al v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16-CV-503$ Lombardi v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16-CV-508$ Tullos v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16-CV-521$ Garnes et al v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16-CV-547$ Zuber v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16-CV-581$ Pratt v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16-CV-613$ Gowens et al v. Biomet, Inc.		
3:16-CV-157Harbold v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16-CV-158$ Kandel v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16-CV-159$ Smith v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16-CV-188$ Avena et al v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16-CV-244$ Locke v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16-CV-244$ Locke v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16-CV-267$ Jones et al v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16-CV-322$ Bryngelson et al v. Biomet $3:16-CV-344$ Carter et al v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16-CV-463$ Dood et al v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16-CV-503$ Lombardi v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16-CV-508$ Tullos v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16-CV-547$ Zuber v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16-CV-547$ Zuber v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16-CV-581$ Pratt v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16-CV-613$ Gowens et al v. Biomet, Inc.		
3:16-CV-158Kandel v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16-CV-159$ Smith v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16-CV-188$ Avena et al v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16-CV-244$ Locke v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16-CV-267$ Jones et al v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16-CV-322$ Bryngelson et al v. Biomet $3:16-CV-344$ Carter et al v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16-CV-344$ Dood et al v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16-CV-463$ Dood et al v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16-CV-503$ Lombardi v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16-CV-508$ Tullos v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16-CV-521$ Garnes et al v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16-CV-547$ Zuber v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16-CV-581$ Pratt v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16-CV-613$ Gowens et al v. Biomet, Inc.		•
3:16-CV-159Smith v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16-CV-188$ Avena et al v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16-CV-244$ Locke v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16-CV-267$ Jones et al v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16-CV-322$ Bryngelson et al v. Biomet $3:16-CV-344$ Carter et al v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16-CV-344$ Dood et al v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16-CV-463$ Dood et al v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16-CV-503$ Lombardi v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16-CV-508$ Tullos v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16-CV-521$ Garnes et al v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16-CV-547$ Zuber v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16-CV-581$ Pratt v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16-CV-613$ Gowens et al v. Biomet, Inc.		
3:16-CV-188Avena et al v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16-CV-244$ Locke v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16-CV-267$ Jones et al v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16-CV-322$ Bryngelson et al v. Biomet $3:16-CV-344$ Carter et al v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16-CV-463$ Dood et al v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16-CV-503$ Lombardi v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16-CV-508$ Tullos v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16-CV-521$ Garnes et al v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16-CV-547$ Zuber v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16-CV-581$ Pratt v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16-CV-613$ Gowens et al v. Biomet, Inc.		
3:16-CV-244Locke v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16-CV-267$ Jones et al v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16-CV-322$ Bryngelson et al v. Biomet $3:16-CV-344$ Carter et al v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16-CV-463$ Dood et al v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16-CV-503$ Lombardi v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16-CV-508$ Tullos v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16-CV-521$ Garnes et al v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16-CV-547$ Zuber v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16-CV-581$ Pratt v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16-CV-613$ Gowens et al v. Biomet, Inc.		
3:16-CV-267Jones et al v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16-CV-322$ Bryngelson et al v. Biomet $3:16-CV-344$ Carter et al v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16-CV-463$ Dood et al v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16-CV-503$ Lombardi v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16-CV-508$ Tullos v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16-CV-521$ Garnes et al v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16-CV-547$ Zuber v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16-CV-581$ Pratt v. Biomet, Inc. $3:16-CV-613$ Gowens et al v. Biomet, Inc.		
3:16-CV-322Bryngelson et al v. Biomet3:16-CV-344Carter et al v. Biomet, Inc.3:16-CV-463Dood et al v. Biomet, Inc.3:16-CV-503Lombardi v. Biomet, Inc.3:16-CV-508Tullos v. Biomet, Inc.3:16-CV-521Garnes et al v. Biomet, Inc.3:16-CV-547Zuber v. Biomet, Inc.3:16-CV-581Pratt v. Biomet, Inc.3:16-CV-613Gowens et al v. Biomet, Inc.		•
3:16-CV-344Carter et al v. Biomet, Inc.3:16-CV-463Dood et al v. Biomet, Inc.3:16-CV-503Lombardi v. Biomet, Inc.3:16-CV-508Tullos v. Biomet, Inc.3:16-CV-521Garnes et al v. Biomet, Inc.3:16-CV-547Zuber v. Biomet, Inc.3:16-CV-581Pratt v. Biomet, Inc.3:16-CV-613Gowens et al v. Biomet, Inc.		
3:16-CV-463Dood et al v. Biomet, Inc.3:16-CV-503Lombardi v. Biomet, Inc.3:16-CV-508Tullos v. Biomet, Inc.3:16-CV-521Garnes et al v. Biomet, Inc.3:16-CV-547Zuber v. Biomet, Inc.3:16-CV-581Pratt v. Biomet, Inc.3:16-CV-613Gowens et al v. Biomet, Inc.		
3:16-CV-503Lombardi v. Biomet, Inc.3:16-CV-508Tullos v. Biomet, Inc.3:16-CV-521Garnes et al v. Biomet, Inc.3:16-CV-547Zuber v. Biomet, Inc.3:16-CV-581Pratt v. Biomet, Inc.3:16-CV-613Gowens et al v. Biomet, Inc.		-
3:16-CV-508Tullos v. Biomet, Inc.3:16-CV-521Garnes et al v. Biomet, Inc.3:16-CV-547Zuber v. Biomet, Inc.3:16-CV-581Pratt v. Biomet, Inc.3:16-CV-613Gowens et al v. Biomet, Inc.		
3:16-CV-521Garnes et al v. Biomet, Inc.3:16-CV-547Zuber v. Biomet, Inc.3:16-CV-581Pratt v. Biomet, Inc.3:16-CV-613Gowens et al v. Biomet, Inc.		-
3:16-CV-547Zuber v. Biomet, Inc.3:16-CV-581Pratt v. Biomet, Inc.3:16-CV-613Gowens et al v. Biomet, Inc.		•
3:16-CV-581Pratt v. Biomet, Inc.3:16-CV-613Gowens et al v. Biomet, Inc.		
3:16-CV-613 Gowens et al v. Biomet, Inc.		
	3:16-CV-659	Wells et al v. Biomet, Inc.

Court/Division

SDTX, Brownsville SDOH, Dayton EDNC, Western WDNC NDIL EDVA, Richmond DKS, KC-Leavenworth DMD, Northern DNV, Las Vegas EDNY, Central Islip DNM, Southern EDVA WDWA, Seattle EDMO, Southeastern NDAL, Southern DNV EDMO, Eastern WDAR, Hot Springs NDIL EDCA, Fresno WDWA DMA, Eastern NDGA, Atlanta EDNC NDOK **MDLA** DCO DMD, Southern DMD, Northern WDWI, Eau Claire EDNY, Central Islip NDAL, Southern MDFL, Jacksonville SDGA, Augusta SDTX, Houston WDMI, Southern EDNY, Central Islip EDAR, Western SDWV, Charleston WDWI, Madison WDWA, Tacoma EDMO, Eastern NDOH, Eastern-Akron