

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF INDIANA  
HAMMOND DIVISION**

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA            )  
  )  
  )     NO. 2:06-CR-176  
  )  
v.    )  
  )  
RAHUL MANNAVA                        )

**COURT'S FINAL JURY INSTRUCTIONS**

Dated: May 17, 2007

s/ Philip P. Simon  
Philip P. Simon, Judge  
United States District Court

COURT'S INSTRUCTION NO. 1

Members of the jury, you have seen and heard all the evidence and the arguments of the attorneys. Now I will instruct you on the law.

You have two duties as a jury. Your first duty is to decide the facts from the evidence in the case. This is your job, and yours alone.

Your second duty is to apply the law that I give you to the facts. You must follow these instructions, even if you disagree with them. Each of the instructions is important, and you must follow all of them.

Perform these duties fairly and impartially. Do not allow sympathy, prejudice, fear, or public opinion to influence you. You should not be influenced by any person's race, color, religion, national ancestry, or sex.

Nothing I say now, and nothing I said or did during the trial, is meant to indicate any opinion on my part about what the facts are or about what your verdict should be.

COURT'S INSTRUCTION NO. 2

The evidence consists of the testimony of the witnesses and the exhibits admitted in evidence.

COURT'S INSTRUCTION NO. 3

You are to decide whether the testimony of each of the witnesses is truthful and accurate, in part, in whole, or not at all, as well as what weight, if any, you give to the testimony of each witness.

In evaluating the testimony of any witness, you may consider, among other things:

- the witness's intelligence;
- the ability and opportunity the witness had to see, hear, or know the things that the witness testified about;
- the witness's memory;
- any interest, bias, or prejudice the witness may have;
- the manner of the witness while testifying; and
- the reasonableness of the witness's testimony in light of all the evidence in the case.

You should judge the defendant's testimony in the same way that you judge the testimony of any other witness.

COURT'S INSTRUCTION NO. 4

You should use common sense in weighing the evidence and consider the evidence in light of your own observations in life.

In our lives, we often look at one fact and conclude from it that another fact exists. In law we call this "inference." A jury is allowed to make reasonable inferences. Any inferences you make must be reasonable and must be based on the evidence in the case.

COURT'S INSTRUCTION NO. 5

Some of you have heard the phrases “circumstantial evidence” and “direct evidence.” Direct evidence is the testimony of someone who claims to have personal knowledge of the commission of the crime which has been charged, such as an eyewitness. Circumstantial evidence is the proof of a series of facts which tend to show whether the defendant is guilty or not guilty. The law makes no distinction between the weight to be given either direct or circumstantial evidence. You should decide how much weight to give to any evidence. All the evidence in the case, including the circumstantial evidence, should be considered by you in reaching your verdict.

COURT'S INSTRUCTION NO. 6

Certain things are not evidence. I will list them for you:

First, testimony that I struck from the record, or that I told you to disregard, is not evidence and must not be considered.

Second, anything that you may have seen or heard outside the courtroom is not evidence and must be entirely disregarded. This includes any press, radio, or television reports you may have seen or heard. Such reports are not evidence and your verdict must not be influenced in any way by such publicity.

Third, questions and objections by the lawyers are not evidence. Attorneys have a duty to object when they believe a question is improper. You should not be influenced by any objection or by my ruling on it.

Fourth, the lawyers' statements to you are not evidence. The purpose of these statements is to discuss the issues and the evidence. If the evidence as you remember it differs from what the lawyers said, your memory is what counts.

COURT'S INSTRUCTION NO. 7

It is proper for an attorney to interview any witness in preparation for trial.

COURT'S INSTRUCTION NO. 8

You may find the testimony of one witness or a few witnesses more persuasive than the testimony of a larger number. You need not accept the testimony of the larger number of witnesses.

COURT'S INSTRUCTION NO. 9

The superseding indictment in this case is the formal method of accusing the defendant of an offense and placing the defendant on trial. It is not evidence against the defendant and does not create any inference of guilt.

The defendant is charged with attempting to use a facility or means of interstate commerce, specifically the World Wide Web, to knowingly persuade, induce, entice, or coerce an individual whom the defendant believed to be a female under the age of eighteen, to engage in any sexual activity for which a person can be charged with a criminal offense under Indiana law.

The defendant has pleaded not guilty to the charge.

COURT'S INSTRUCTION NO. 10

The defendant is presumed to be innocent of the charge. This presumption continues during every stage of the trial and your deliberations on the verdict. It is not overcome unless from all the evidence in the case you are convinced beyond a reasonable doubt that the defendant is guilty as charged. The government has the burden of proving the guilt of the defendant beyond a reasonable doubt.

This burden of proof stays with the government throughout the case. The defendant is never required to prove his innocence or to produce any evidence at all.

COURT'S INSTRUCTION NO. 11

You have heard a witness give opinions about matters requiring special knowledge or skill. You should judge this testimony in the same way that you judge the testimony of any other witness. The fact that such a person has given an opinion does not mean that you are required to accept it. Give the testimony whatever weight you think it deserves, considering the reasons given for the opinion, the witness' qualifications, and all of the other evidence in the case.

COURT'S INSTRUCTION NO. 12

To sustain the charge in Count One of the superseding indictment, the government must prove the following propositions:

First: The defendant used a facility of interstate commerce;

Second: In an attempt;

Third: To knowingly persuade, induce, entice, or coerce a person under 18 years of age; Fourth: To engage in any sexual activity for which any person can be charged with a criminal offense under Indiana law.

If you find from your consideration of all of the evidence that any of these propositions has not been proved beyond a reasonable doubt, then you should find the defendant not guilty of that charge.

If, on the other hand, you find from your consideration of all of the evidence that each of these propositions has been proved beyond a reasonable doubt, then you should find the defendant guilty of that charge.

COURT'S INSTRUCTION NO. 13

When the word “knowingly” is used in these instructions, it means that the defendant realized what he was doing and was aware of the nature of his conduct, and did not act through ignorance, mistake or accident.

Knowledge may be proved by the defendant's conduct, and by all the facts and circumstances surrounding the case.

COURT'S INSTRUCTION NO. 14

As used in Title 18, United States Code, Section 2422(b), the phrase "to engage in any sexual activity for which any person can be charged with a criminal offense," means that the act or conduct attempted by the defendant must have been in violation of some state or federal law. In this case, the government has alleged that the defendant's conduct was a criminal offense under Indiana law.

Specifically, the government has alleged that the defendant's conduct constituted Child Solicitation in violation of Indiana Criminal Code IC 35-42-4-6 and Vicarious Sexual Gratification in violation of Indiana Criminal Code IC 35-42-4-5.

COURT'S INSTRUCTION NO. 15

Indiana Criminal Code IC 35-42-4-6, entitled "Child Solicitation," was in full force and effect during the relevant time period.

This statute states, in part, as follows:

(a) As used in this section, "solicit" means to command, authorize, urge, incite, request, or advise an individual by using a computer network to perform an act described in subsection (b).

(b) A person eighteen (18) years of age or older who knowingly or intentionally solicits a child under fourteen (14) years of age, or an individual the person believes to be a child under fourteen (14) years of age, to engage in:

- (1) sexual intercourse;
- (2) deviate sexual conduct; or
- (3) any fondling or touching intended to arouse or satisfy the sexual desires of either the child or the older person;

commits child solicitation.

COURT'S INSTRUCTION NO. 16

Indiana Criminal Code IC 35-42-4-5, entitled "Vicarious Sexual Gratification" was in full force and effect during the relevant time period.

This statute states, in part, as follows:

(a) A person eighteen (18) years of age or older who knowingly or intentionally directs, aids, induces, or causes a child under the age of sixteen (16) to touch or fondle himself with intent to arouse or satisfy the sexual desires of a child or the older person commits vicarious sexual gratification.

COURT'S INSTRUCTION NO. 17

To “attempt” means that the defendant knowingly took a substantial step toward the commission of the offense with the intent to commit that offense.

A “substantial step” must be something more than mere preparation, but less than the last act necessary before the actual commission of the intended crime.

It is not necessary for the government to prove that the individual was actually persuaded, induced, enticed, or coerced to engage in sexual activity. But it is necessary for the government to prove that the defendant intended to engage in some form of unlawful sexual activity with the individual and knowingly took some action that was a substantial step toward bringing about or engaging in that sexual activity.

COURT'S INSTRUCTION NO. 18

The Internet is a facility of interstate commerce.

COURT'S INSTRUCTION NO. 19

It is not a defense that the person with whom the defendant communicated was not in fact an actual minor, but rather an adult posing as a minor.

COURT'S INSTRUCTION NO. 20

Under Title 18, United States Code, § 2422(b), it is not necessary for the government to prove that the defendant traveled to meet an actual minor or an adult posing as a minor.

COURT'S INSTRUCTION NO. 21

The superseding indictment charges that the offense was committed “between on or about” August 11, 2006 “through on or about” August 19, 2006. The government must prove that the alleged offense happened reasonably close to the time period between those dates but is not required to prove that the alleged offense happened between those exact dates.

COURT'S INSTRUCTION NO. 22

Upon retiring to the jury room, select one of your number as your foreperson. The foreperson will preside over your deliberations and will be your representative here in court.

Forms of verdict have been prepared for you.

Take these forms to the jury room, and when you have reached unanimous agreement on the verdict, your foreperson will fill in and date the appropriate form, and each of you will sign it.

COURT'S INSTRUCTION NO. 23

I do not anticipate that you will need to communicate with me. If you do, however, the only proper way is in writing, signed by the foreperson, or if he or she is unwilling to do so, by some other juror, and given to the marshal.

COURT'S INSTRUCTION NO. 24

The verdict must represent the considered judgment of each juror. Your verdict, whether it be guilty or not guilty, must be unanimous.

You should make every reasonable effort to reach a verdict. In doing so, you should consult with one another, express your own views, and listen to the opinions of your fellow jurors. Discuss your differences with an open mind. Do not hesitate to re-examine your own views and change your opinion if you come to believe it is wrong. But you should not surrender your honest beliefs about the weight or effect of evidence solely because of the opinions of your fellow jurors or for the purpose of returning a unanimous verdict.

The twelve of you should give fair and equal consideration to all the evidence and deliberate with the goal of reaching an agreement which is consistent with the individual judgment of each juror.

You are impartial judges of the facts. Your sole interest is to determine whether the government has proved its case beyond a reasonable doubt.